FIAN/TD/03-13
January 2013

arXiv:1301.3123v1 [hep-th] 14 Jan 2013

Operator algebra of free conformal currents via twistors

O.A. Gelfond[1] and M.A. Vasiliev[2]

[1]Institute of System Research of Russian Academy of Sciences,
Nakhimovsky prospect 36-1, 117218, Moscow, Russia

[2]I.E.Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics, Lebedev Physical Institute,
Leninsky prospect 53, 119991, Moscow, Russia

Abstract

Operator algebra of (not necessarily free) higher-spin conformal conserved currents in generalized matrix spaces, that include $3d$ Minkowski space-time as a particular case, is shown to be determined by an associative algebra M of functions on the twistor space. For free conserved currents, M is the universal enveloping algebra of the higher-spin algebra. Proposed construction greatly simplifies computation and analysis of correlators of conserved currents. Generating function for n-point functions of $3d$ (super)currents of all spins, built from N free constituent massless scalars and spinors, is obtained in a concise form of certain determinant. Our results agree with and extend earlier bulk computations in the HS AdS_4/CFT_3 framework. Generating function for n-point functions of $4d$ conformal currents is also presented.

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 Fields, currents and charges **5**
2.1 Fields 5
2.2 Currents and charges 7

3 $\mathcal{D}-$functions **11**

4 Quantization **13**
4.1 Quantum fields 13
4.2 Quantum currents 14

5 Algebra of charges **17**
5.1 Charges 17
5.2 Higher-spin algebra 20

6 Twistor current operator algebra **21**
6.1 OPE of twistor currents 22
6.2 Star-product interpretation 24
6.3 Multiple product of bilinear currents 25
  6.3.1 Butterfly algebra 25
  6.3.2 Butterfly formulae for n-product and n-point functions 26

7 Space-time current operator algebra **28**
7.1 Space-time dependence from twistors 28
7.2 A-currents 30
7.3 F-currents 31
  7.3.1 Space-time F-currents 32
  7.3.2 $X-$independent parameters 33

8 Correlators of free conformal currents **34**
8.1 $3d$ 34
8.2 $4d$ 35

9 Examples **36**
9.1 Integer spins 36
9.2 Half-integer spins 37
9.3 Correlators of primary currents of integer spins 38
  9.3.1 Two-point function 39
  9.3.2 Three-point function 40

10 Conclusion **41**

1 Introduction

We apply methods of unfolded dynamics to derivation of the operator algebra of conserved currents in three and higher dimensions. The problem is analyzed within the formulation in generalized matrix space $\mathcal{M}_M$ that provides a natural framework for description of conformal fields in various space-time dimensions [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. In the case of $\mathcal{M}_2$ equivalent to usual $3d$ Minkowski space, our analysis reproduces operator algebra of free conserved currents of all spins built from free $3d$ massless scalars and spinors. We derive full operator algebra of free conserved currents and, in particular, explicit form for n-point functions, including relative coefficients. Our results agree with previously available in the literature on two-point, three-point (see, e.g. [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15] and references therein) and n-point functions [16] for conserved currents of any spin, extending them to supercurrents.

Our construction exhibits covariance under an infinite-dimensional symmetry which extends usual higher-spin (HS) symmetries, that act individually on n-point functions with definite n, to much larger multiparticle symmetries deduced in [17] based on this research. Mixing states with different number of particles, multiparticle symmetries relate n-point functions with different n. Being represented by the universal enveloping algebra of the HS algebra, multiparticle algebra can be realized [17] in terms of an infinite set of oscillators reminiscent of those of String Theory. Recognition of this symmetry, as a promising candidate for the symmetry of a HS generalization of String Theory, is an important by-product of our analysis.

The key observation is that, when all spins are involved, conserved currents are described by unrestricted functions $J(Y_i|X)$, of the doubled number of spinor (twistor) variables Y_i^A, $i = 1, 2$ $(A = 1, \dots M)$ [18]. In these terms, free $3d$ massless fields are described by functions of two-component spinors y^α and space-time coordinates $x^{\alpha\beta} = x^{\beta\alpha}$, where α, $\beta = 1, 2$ are $3d$ spinor indices, while $3d$ conserved currents $J(y_i^\alpha|x^{\alpha\beta})$ depend on a pair of spinors y_1^α, y_2^α. Spin s primary HS currents are

$$J^{\pm}_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_s}(x) = \frac{\partial^s}{\partial y_\pm^{\alpha_1}\dots\partial y_\pm^{\alpha_s}} J(y_i^\alpha|x)\Big|_{y=0}, \qquad y_\pm^\alpha = y_1^\alpha \pm y_2^\alpha\,. \tag{1.1}$$

OPE of $J(y_i^\alpha|x)J(y'^\alpha_i|x')$ develops a singularity at $(x, y) \to (x', y')$. In particular, for $x = x'$, $J(y_i^\alpha|x)J(y'^\alpha_i|x)$ is a distribution with respect to $y^\alpha - y'^\alpha$. The form of OPE at the same x is very simple in terms of the twistor variables. However, making no sense at $y = y'$, such OPE cannot be directly used for the analysis of currents (1.1) of definite spins evaluated at $y = 0$. Hence, to compute OPE for currents of definite spins it is necessary to separate their space-time coordinates x, x' while for the tower of all spins OPE can be defined at $x = x'$.

More in detail, introducing for general M,

$$\mathcal{I}^2_g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2M}} d^{2M}Y \;\; g(Y_1, Y_2)\, J(Y_1,\, Y_2|0)\,, \tag{1.2}$$

where $g(Y_1, Y_2)$ is an arbitrary function, operator algebra has the form

$$\mathcal{I}^2_g\mathcal{I}^2_{g'} =: \mathcal{I}^2_g\mathcal{I}^2_{g'} : + \mathcal{I}^2_{g\diamondsuit g'} + Ntr(g \triangleleft g')Id\,, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\triangleleft$ and $\diamondsuit$ denote convolution products related to star product via half-Fourier transform and representing particular cases of certain "butterfly" product law (see Section 6). The first term in (1.3) is regular (terms of this type are usually skipped). The other two are singular. The second term implies that currents generate the HS algebra (see Section 5). The third term is central. N is the number of free fields from which the currents are constructed. Extension of this formula to $:\mathcal{I}^2_g(x)\mathcal{I}^2_{g'}(x'):$ is uniquely determined by the unfolded current conservation equations, reproducing usual formulas.

Current operator algebra is fully determined in terms of the multiparticle algebra M, leading to the remarkably simple generating function for all n-point functions, expressed in terms of certain determinant with respect to butterfly product. This butterfly formula works both for $3d$ and for $4d$ Minkowski space. Since all other operators have zero VEV, a n-point function coincides with the coefficient in front of the unit operator Id of the operator algebra. As announced in (1.3), such coefficients are expressed in terms of a trace of the butterfly-product algebra. Being similar to the Ansatz of bulk computation in [14, 15, 16], here it results from direct computation which specifies the Green functions involved. Namely, we compute Wightman functions. Of course, at least naively (*i.e.,* away from singularities), from these results it is easy to obtain T-ordered correlators by inserting appropriate step-functions in time coordinates.

Our original motivation was to study further AdS_4/CFT_3 HS correspondence that acquired considerable attention after important work of Klebanov and Polyakov [19] where it was argued that the HS gauge theory of [20] should be dual to the $3d$ $O(N)$ sigma model in the $N \to \infty$ limit (for fermionic counterpart see [21]). This conjecture was checked by Giombi and Yin [11] (and references therein) who were able to show in particular how the bulk computation in HS gauge theory reproduces conformal correlators in the free $3d$ theory. Recently, Maldacena and Zhiboedov [22, 23] addressed the question on restrictions imposed on a boundary $3d$ conformal theory by HS conformal symmetries. Assuming unitarity and locality they were able to show that a $3d$ conformal theory, that possesses a HS conserved current, should be free. This conclusion seemingly suggests that any AdS_4 HS theory should be equivalent to a free boundary theory at least in the most symmetric vacuum. However, in [24] it was shown that, beyond $N \to \infty$ limit, holographically dual of the AdS_4 HS theory is a nonlinear $3d$ HS theory which describes interactions of conformal current fields with $3d$ conformal HS gauge fields.

Results of this paper further support the idea of manifest equivalence of the bulk AdS_4 HS theory and that of boundary currents (plus boundary conformal fields) [24]. From the perspective of a multiparticle HS theory, the computation of n-point is functions is essentially classical. There are two elements that make the result quantum from usual perspective. One is that algebra M is itself non-commutative as a result of non-commutativity of the HS algebra which is the algebra of oscillators (*i.e.,* Weyl algebra). Another is the origin of the central term proportional to the number N of free constituent fields in the example of currents of this paper. As discussed in [17], the ambiguity in N is encoded in the definition of trace on M, which enters definition of n-point function. Hence, both of these quantum effects acquire "classical" interpretation in terms of HS-like computations in multiparticle theory. It is tempting to speculate that such a "classical" multiparticle consideration should be equivalent to quantum HS theory with all its multiparticle states involved.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall relevant facts of the unfolded formulation of fields of different ranks, conserved currents and charges. In Section 3, the construction and properties of $\mathcal{D}-$functions of the unfolded massless field equations is recalled. In Section 4, quantization prescription for free fields in the generalized matrix space is recalled and quantum currents are introduced. In Section 5, algebra of charges is shown to be isomorphic to the star-product algebra associated with conformal HS algebra. In Section 6, operator algebra of currents in twistor space is introduced and determined for any M in terms of butterfly-product algebra. Space-time operator algebra is presented in Section 7. General formulae for $3d$ and $4d$ n-point functions is obtained in Section 8. Numerous examples are considered in Section 9. Conclusions and perspectives are discussed in Section 10.

2 Fields, currents and charges

2.1 Fields

As shown in [4], conformal fields in various dimensions are conveniently described in terms of generalized space-time $\mathcal{M}_M$ with symmetric matrix coordinates $X^{AB} = X^{BA}$ $(A, B = 1 \dots M)$. In the unfolded formulation of [4], conformal fields are formulated in terms of 0-forms $C^{\pm}(Y|X)$ that depend both on the coordinates X^{AB} and on the spinor (twistor) coordinates $Y^A \subset \mathbb{R}^M$. Rank-one unfolded equations are

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{AB}} \pm i \, \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A \partial Y^B}\right) C^{\pm}(Y|X) = 0 \,. \tag{2.1}$$

In the case of $M = 2$, these are massless equations for scalar and spinor fields in three space-time dimensions, described, respectively, by even $\big(C^{\pm}(Y|X) = C^{\pm}(-Y|X)\big)$ and odd $\big(C^{\pm}(Y|X) = -C^{\pm}(-Y|X)\big)$ functions of Y [25]. For $M > 2$ equations (2.1) are also related to conformal equations in higher dimensions as discussed in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. In particular, at $M = 4$ these equations describe a tower of $4d$ conformal (massless) fields of all spins [2, 3, 4]. In this section we consider the case of arbitrary M, keeping in mind that it is related to the AdS_4/CFT_3 HS correspondence at $M = 2$.

As explained in [26], $+$ and $-$ in Eqs. (2.1) distinguish between positive and negative frequencies, *i.e.,* particles and antiparticles. Namely,

$$C^{\pm}(Y|X) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}}} \int d^M\xi \, c^{\pm}(\xi) \exp \pm i\Big(\, \xi_A \xi_B X^{AB} + Y^B \xi_B\Big) \tag{2.2}$$

are complex conjugated to each other

$$c^{-}(\xi) = \overline{c^{+}(\xi)}\,, \qquad C^{-}(Y|X) = \overline{C^{+}(Y|X)}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

It is useful to analytically continue $C^{\pm}(Y|X)$ to $C^{\pm}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ [26] where

$$\mathcal{X}^{AB} = X^{AB} + i\,\mathbf{X}^{AB}\,, \qquad X^{AB} = \mathrm{Re}\,\mathcal{X}^{AB}\,, \qquad \mathbf{X}^{AB} = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{X}^{AB}. \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathcal{Y}^A = Y^A + i\,\mathbf{Y}^A\,, \qquad Y^A = \operatorname{Re}\mathcal{Y}^A\,, \qquad \mathbf{Y}^A = \operatorname{Im}\mathcal{Y}^A. \tag{2.5}$$

The real part X^{AB} of $\mathcal{X}^{AB}$ is identified with the coordinates of the generalized space-time containing Minkowski space as a subspace. The imaginary part $\mathbf{X}^{AB}$ is required to be positive definite and was treated in [5] as a regulator that makes the Gaussian integrals well-defined (*i.e.,* physical quantities are obtained in the limit $\mathbf{X}^{AB} \to 0$; note, that the complex coordinates Z^{AB} af [5] are related to $\mathcal{X}^{AB}$ as $\mathcal{X}^{AB} = i\overline{Z}^{AB}$). $\mathcal{X}^{AB}$ belong to the upper Siegel half-space $\mathfrak{H}_M$ [27, 28]. Evidently, $-\overline{\mathcal{X}}^{AB} \in \mathfrak{H}_M$ provided that $\mathcal{X}^{AB} \in \mathfrak{H}_M$ and vice versa. The complex variables $\mathcal{Y}^A$ extend Siegel space to *Fock-Siegel space* $\mathfrak{H}_M \times \mathbb{C}^M$.

Continuation of the functions $C^{\pm}$(2.2) to Fock-Siegel space $\mathfrak{H}_M \times \mathbb{C}^M$ is

$$C^+(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}}}\int d^M\xi\; c^+(\xi)\exp i\big(\,\xi_A\xi_B\mathcal{X}^{AB} + \xi_A\mathcal{Y}^A\big)\,, \tag{2.6}$$

$$C^-(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}|\overline{\mathcal{X}}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}}}\int d^M\xi\, c^-(\xi)\exp -i\big(\,\overline{\mathcal{X}}^{AB}\xi_A\xi_B + \xi_A\overline{\mathcal{Y}}^A\big)\;. \tag{2.7}$$

As an appropriate generalization of the conventional classical field to unfolded dynamics approach we introduce mutually conjugated *full fields*

$$\Phi_j(Y|X) = \hat{C}^+_j(Y|X) + i^{\mathfrak{p}_j}\hat{C}^-_j(iY|X)\,, \qquad \overline{\Phi}_j(Y|X) = \hat{C}^-_j(Y|X) + i^{\mathfrak{p}_j}\hat{C}^+_j(iY|X)\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Let ρ be an involutive linear map

$$\rho(\hat{C}^{\pm}_j(Y|X)) = (i)^{\mathfrak{p}_j}\hat{C}^{\mp}_j(iY|X)\,. \tag{2.9}$$

$$\rho^2(\hat{C}^{\pm}_j(Y|X)) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j}\hat{C}^{\pm}_j(-Y|X) = \hat{C}^{\pm}_j(Y|X) \quad : \quad \rho^2 = Id\,. \tag{2.10}$$

In these terms,

$$\Phi_j(Y|X) = (1+\rho)(\hat{C}^+_j(Y|X))\,, \qquad \overline{\Phi}_j(Y|X) = (1+\rho)(\hat{C}^-_j(Y|X))\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Hence

$$\rho\left(\Phi_j(Y|X)\right) = \Phi_j(Y|X)\,, \qquad \rho\left(\overline{\Phi}_j(Y|X)\right) = \overline{\Phi}_j(Y|X). \tag{2.12}$$

Note that

$$\Phi_j(Y|X) = i^{\mathfrak{p}_j}\overline{\Phi}_j(iY|X)\,, \qquad \overline{\Phi}_j(Y|X) = i^{\mathfrak{p}_j}\Phi_j(iY|X)\,. \tag{2.13}$$

The factors of i in (2.8) are introduced in such a way that, containing positive and negative frequencies, $\Phi_j(Y|X)$ and $\overline{\Phi}_j(Y|X)$ obey unfolded equations with definite signs of the second terms

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{AB}} + i\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial Y^B}\right)\Phi_j(Y|X) = 0\,, \qquad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{AB}} - i\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial Y^B}\right)\overline{\Phi}_j(Y|X) = 0\,. \tag{2.14}$$

Unfolded formulation [31] is useful in many respects (for more detail and references see [32]). In particular, given function $C(Y|0)$ of spinors Y^A, it reconstructs a solution of Eq. (2.1) by

$$C^{\pm}(Y|X) = \exp\left(\mp iX^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^A\partial Y^B}\right)C(Y|0)\,. \tag{2.15}$$

2.2 Currents and charges

Let us recall, following [26], main properties of the unfolded formulation of conserved currents. Rank-two field equation reads as [18]

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{AB}} - i\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial U^{(A}\partial V^{B)}}\right) J(U,V|X) = 0\,. \tag{2.16}$$

$J(U,V|X)$, that satisfies Eq. (2.16), will be called rank-two current field (or, simply, current). Clearly, rank-two fields can be interpreted as bi-local rank-one fields in the twistor sense. In this respect they are somewhat analogous to space-time bi-local fields sometimes used for the description of currents (see e.g [29, 30] and references therein). The important difference between these two approaches is however that rank-two fields are unconstrained in the twistor space while bi-local space-time fields should obey additional differential conditions with respect to the doubled coordinates. It is this property that makes twistor description so efficient.

In unfolded formulation, fundamental dynamical fields, which are primaries in the conformal setup, are described by cohomology of the operator σ_-. For Eq. (2.16), this is the cohomology group $H^0(\sigma_-)$ where

$$\sigma_- = dX^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial U^A \partial V^B}\,.$$

As shown in [18], the primary currents at any M are represented by the following components j of $J(U,V|X)$

$$j_1(U|X) = J(U,0|X)\,,\qquad j_2(V|X) = J(0,V|X)\,,\qquad j_{1,2} = C_{AB}(X)U^A V^B\,, \tag{2.17}$$

where $C_{AB}(X) = -C_{BA}(X)$. All other components of $J(U,V|X)$ are expressed via derivatives of the primary currents by virtue of unfolded equations (2.16).

Any current $J(U,V|X)$ can be decomposed into a sum of currents $J^h(U,V|X)$ of different "helicities" h, that satisfy

$$HJ^h(U,V|X) = hJ^h(U,V|X)\,, \tag{2.18}$$

where the helicity operator

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\left(V^A\frac{\partial}{\partial V^A} - U^A\frac{\partial}{\partial U^A}\right) \tag{2.19}$$

commutes to the operator on the *l.h.s.* of Eq. (2.16), and h is some integer or half-integer.

Since $J(U,V|X)$ obeys (2.16), one can use the evolution formula analogous to (2.15)

$$J(U,V|X) = \exp\left(iX^{AB}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial V^A \partial U^B}\right) J(U,V|0)\,. \tag{2.20}$$

A rank-two current field gives rise to the M-forms [26]

$$\Omega(J) \,=\, \frac{1}{2}\left(i\,d\,X^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial U^B} + d\,V^A\right)^M\, J(U,\,V\,|X)\Big|_{U=0} \tag{2.21}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\Omega}(J) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\Big(i\,d\,X^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial V^{B}} + d\,U^{A}\Big)^{M}\; J(U,\,V\,|X)\Big|_{V=0}\,, \tag{2.22}$$

that are closed by virtue of (2.16). As a result, on solutions of (2.16), the charges

$$Q(J)=\int_{\Sigma}\Omega(J)\,,\qquad \widetilde{Q}(J)=\int_{\Sigma}\widetilde{\Omega}(J) \tag{2.23}$$

are independent of local variations of a M-dimensional integration surface $\Sigma\subset\mathcal{M}_M\otimes\mathbb{R}^M$. For currents that decrease fast enough at space infinity of $\mathcal{M}_M$, the charges (2.23) turn out to be independent of the time parameter in $\mathcal{M}_M$, thus being conserved.

An important realization of a rank-two current field is provided by the generalized stress tensor constructed from bilinears of free rank-one fields $C^{\pm}_i$ in $\mathcal{M}_M\otimes\mathbb{R}^M$, that satisfy (2.1) and carry a color index $i=1,2,\dots N$

$$T_{ij}(U,\,V\,|X)=C^{+}_{i}(V-U|X)\,C^{-}_{j}(U+V|X)\,. \tag{2.24}$$

Eq. (2.16) is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{AB}}+i\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y_1^{A}\partial Y_1^{B}}-i\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y_2^{A}\partial Y_2^{B}}\right)\mathcal{T}_{ij}(U(Y),\,V(Y)\,|X)=0\;, \tag{2.25}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_{ij}(Y_1,Y_2|X)=T(U(Y),V(Y)|X)\,,\qquad V(Y)=\frac{1}{2}(Y_1+Y_2)\,,\qquad U(Y)=\frac{1}{2}(Y_2-Y_1)\,. \tag{2.26}$$

First-order differential operators $\mathcal{A}(Y|X)$

$$\mathcal{A}_a{}^{B}(Y|X)=2iX^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^{A}}-aY^{B}\;,\qquad \mathcal{A}_{aC}(Y|X)=\frac{\partial}{\partial Y^{C}}\;,\qquad a=+,-\,, \tag{2.27}$$

which have the property

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pm}(-iY|X)=i\mathcal{A}_{\mp}(Y|X)\,, \tag{2.28}$$

obey

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{AB}}\pm i\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y^{A}\partial Y^{B}}\,,\,\mathcal{A}_{\pm}(Y|X)\right]=0\,. \tag{2.29}$$

Hence, any function of these operators $\eta(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X))$ obeys

$$\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{AB}}+i\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y_1^{A}\partial Y_1^{B}}-i\,\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Y_2^{A}\partial Y_2^{B}}\right)\,,\eta(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X))\right]=0\,. \tag{2.30}$$

Therefore,

$$J_{\eta}(Y|X)=\eta^{ij}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X))\mathcal{T}_{ij}(Y_1,\,Y_2\,|X) \tag{2.31}$$

obeys the current equation (2.16) with the identification (2.26).

Most of polynomials $\eta^{ij}(\mathcal{A})$ lead to exact forms (2.21) and (2.22) and, hence, zero charges (2.23). Indeed, consider the following operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_A(U,V|X) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial U^A} = -\mathcal{A}_{+A}(Y_1|X) + \mathcal{A}_{-A}(Y_2|X)\,,\\ \mathcal{B}^B(U,V|X) &= iX^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial U^A} + V^B = \frac{1}{2}(-\mathcal{A}_+{}^B(Y_1|X) + \mathcal{A}_-{}^B(Y_2|X))\,,\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_A(U,V|X) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial V^A} = \mathcal{A}_{+A}(Y_1|X) + \mathcal{A}_{-A}(Y_2|X)\,,\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^B(U,V|X) &= iX^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial V^A} + U^B = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{A}_+{}^B(Y_1|X) + \mathcal{A}_-{}^B(Y_2|X))\,,\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

which obey Heisenberg commutation relations

$$[\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_B(U,V|X), \mathcal{B}^C(U,V|X)] = \delta_B^C\,, \qquad [\mathcal{B}_B(U,V|X), \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^C(U,V|X)] = \delta_B^C\,. \tag{2.34}$$

As shown in [33], the form $\Omega(J_\eta)$ (2.21) is exact provided that

$$\eta(\mathcal{B}\,,\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^B(U,V|X)\eta_B(\mathcal{B}) + \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_A(U,V|X)\eta^A(\mathcal{B}) \tag{2.35}$$

for some η_A and η^A. Analogously, parameters $\eta(\mathcal{B})$ of the form

$$\eta(\mathcal{B}\,,\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) = \mathcal{B}^B(U,V|X)\eta_B(\mathcal{B}) + \mathcal{B}_A(U,V|X)\eta^A(\mathcal{B}) \tag{2.36}$$

lead to exact form $\widetilde{\Omega}(J_\eta)$ (2.22). As a result, nonzero charges Q and $\widetilde{Q}$ are represented, respectively, by $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$-independent $\eta(\mathcal{B}(U,V|X))$ and $\mathcal{B}$-independent parameters $\eta(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(U,V|X))$ which can be interpreted as parameters of global HS symmetry transformations generated by the charges

$$Q(J_\eta) = Q(J_{\eta(\mathcal{B})})\,, \qquad \widetilde{Q}(J_\eta) = \widetilde{Q}(J_{\eta(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})})\,.$$

Since

$$H(\mathcal{B}) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}\,, \qquad H(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}) = \frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \tag{2.37}$$

where H is the helicity operator (2.19), the charges $\widetilde{Q}$ and Q are supported by the parameters of non-negative and non-positive helicities, respectively. As shown in Section 5, the charges $\widetilde{Q}$ and Q indeed generate $N=2$ supersymmetric HS algebra where the number of charges of a given spin is doubled.

Consider involutive maps P_1, P_2 ($(P_i)^2 = 1$)

$$P_1\big(A(Y_1,Y_2)\big) = A(-Y_1,Y_2)\,, \qquad P_2\big(A(Y_1,Y_2)\big) = A(Y_1,-Y_2)\,. \tag{2.38}$$

Equivalently, in terms of U, V,

$$P_1\big(B(V,U)\big) = B(U,V)\,, \qquad P_2\big(B(V,U)\big) = B(-U,-V)\,. \tag{2.39}$$

Since from Eqs. (2.32), (2.33) it follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ when $U \Leftrightarrow V$, we observe that

$$P_1\big(\mathcal{B}(U,V|X)\big) = \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(U,V|X)\,, \qquad P_1\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(U,V|X)\big) = \mathcal{B}(U,V|X)\,, \tag{2.40}$$
$$P_2\big(\mathcal{B}(U,V|X)\big) = \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(-U,-V|X)\,, \qquad P_2\big(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(U,V|X)\big) = \mathcal{B}(-U,-V|X)\,.$$

For the bilinear stress tensor T_{ij} (2.24) this gives

$$\widetilde{T}_{ij}(U,\,V\,|X) := P_1\big(T_{ij}(U,\,V\,|X)\big) = C_i^+(-V+U|X)\,C_j^-(U+V|X)\,. \tag{2.41}$$

Since $C_i^+(-Y|X)$ solves (2.1) provided that $C_i^+(Y|X)$ does, $\widetilde{T}_{ij}(U,\,V\,|X)$ is also a bilinear stress tensor (2.24). Hence

$$P_1\left(\Omega(J_{\eta(\mathcal{B})})\right) \;=\; \widetilde{\Omega}(\widetilde{J}_{\eta(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})})\,, \qquad \widetilde{J}_{\eta(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})} = \eta^{ij}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})\widetilde{T}_{ij}(U,\,V\,|X) \tag{2.42}$$

and

$$Q(J_{\eta(\mathcal{B})}) = \widetilde{Q}(\widetilde{J}_{\eta(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})}). \tag{2.43}$$

The construction of currents admits several generalizations. In particular, rank-$2r$ currents considered in [26] obey

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{AB}} - i\sum_j^r \frac{\partial^2}{\partial U_j{}^A \partial V_j{}^B}\right) J^{2r}(U,V|X) = 0\,. \tag{2.44}$$

A multilinear "stress tensor" is

$$T^{2r}(U,\,V\,|X) = \prod_{j=1}^r C^+_{i_j}(V_j - U_j|X)\,C^-_{k_j}(U_j+V_j|X), \tag{2.45}$$

where $C^\pm_m(Y|X)$ are solutions of positive- or negative-frequency rank-1 equations. Then

$$J^{2r}(U,V|X) = \eta(\mathcal{B}_1,...,\mathcal{B}_r)T^{2r}(U,\,V\,|X), \tag{2.46}$$

where $\eta(\mathcal{B}_1,...,\mathcal{B}_r)$ are polynomial parameters that depend on $\mathcal{B}_j$ of the form (2.32), (2.33) with $U \to U_j$ and $V \to V_j$, solves (2.44). The multilinear stress tensor (2.45) is nothing but the bilinear stress tensor (2.24) built from the rank-1 solutions in $\mathcal{M}_{rM}$, that result from rank r solutions in $\mathcal{M}_M$ realized by the r-linear products of rank-1 solutions in $\mathcal{M}_M$.

Alternatively, one can consider multilinear currents of the form

$$\mathcal{J}^{2r}_{\eta_1...\eta_n}(Y_1,...,Y_{2r}|X_1,...,X_r) = \prod_j \mathcal{J}_{\eta_j}(Y_{2j-1},Y_{2j}|X_j)\,, \tag{2.47}$$

where $\eta_j = \eta_j(\mathcal{A}(Y_{2j-1},Y_{2j}|X_j))$ with $\mathcal{A}$ (2.27).

It is important to note that unfolded equations (2.44) as well as its particular cases of rank one (refdgydgyh+) and two (2.16) have a form of covariant constancy conditions

$$D_0 C = 0\,, \qquad D_0 = d + \omega_0\,, \tag{2.48}$$

where C is any of the fields C, Φ or J and D_0 is the covariant derivative of the conformal algebra $sp(4)$ for $M = 2$ or its extension $sp(2M)$ for higher M (see e.g. [4]). To describe a conformally flat space-time, the connection ω_0 is required to be flat

$$D_0^2 = 0\,. \tag{2.49}$$

The equations considered in this paper represent (2.48) in the particular case of connection ω_0 corresponding to Cartesian coordinate system where the only nonzero component is vielbein associated with translations in the conformal group. However, one can equally well describe the same systems in any other conformally invariant coordinates associated with differen ω_0.

Moreover, in accordance with general analysis of [17], this allows one immediately extend consideration to a larger space exhibiting the same symmetry simply by adding additional coordinates. The particularly important case is the extension of d-dimensional Minkowski space to AdS_{d+1}. (For constructive definition of the respective connections see [17].) As discussed in some more detail in the preamble of Section 6, this simple observation makes the the correspondence between boundary and bulk computations nearly tautological.

3 $\mathcal{D}-$functions

$\mathcal{D}-$functions of the massless Klein-Gordon-like equation

$$\Big(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^{AB}\partial X^{CD}} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^{AC}\partial X^{BD}}\Big) b(X) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

and the Dirac-like equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X^{AB}} f_C(X) - \frac{\partial}{\partial X^{AC}} f_B(X) = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

in $\mathcal{M}_M$ were introduced in [5] as their singular solutions resulting from the integral representation (2.2)

$$\mathcal{D}^{\pm}(X) = \mp i(2\pi)^{-M} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^M} d^M\xi \;\; \exp \pm i(\xi_A \xi_B X^{AB})\,. \tag{3.3}$$

The Y dependence of $\mathcal{D}-$functions reconstructed in [26] via the unfolded equations (2.1) is

$$\mathcal{D}^{\pm}(Y|X) = \mp i(2\pi)^{-M} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^M} d^M\xi \;\; \exp \pm i(\xi_A \xi_B X^{AB} + \xi_A Y^A)\;. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that normalization of $\mathcal{D}^{\pm}$ (3.3), (3.4) differs from that of [5, 26] by the factor of 2^{-M} to achieve

$$\mathcal{D}^{\pm}(Y|X)\Big|_{X\to 0} = \mp i\delta^M(Y)\,. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence, $\mathcal{D}^{\pm}(Y|X)$ (3.4) belong to the class of solutions of (2.1) that includes distributions in Y.

Continuation of $\mathcal{D}^+$ to Siegel space

$$\mathcal{D}^+(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}) = -i(2\pi)^{-M}\int d^M\xi\, \exp i(\mathcal{X}^{AB}\,\xi_A\xi_B + \mathcal{Y}^A\xi_A), \tag{3.6}$$

gives

$$\mathcal{D}^+(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}) = \frac{-i}{2^M\pi^{M/2}}\; s^{-1}\,\exp(-\frac{i}{4}\mathcal{X}^{-1}_{AB}\mathcal{Y}^A\mathcal{Y}^B)\,,\qquad \mathcal{X}^{-1}_{AB}\mathcal{X}^{BC} = \delta^C_A\,, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$s^2(X) = \det\Big(-i\mathcal{X}\Big)\,. \tag{3.8}$$

Evidently,

$$\mathcal{D}^-(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}) = \overline{\mathcal{D}}^+(\overline{\mathcal{Y}}|\overline{\mathcal{X}})\,. \tag{3.9}$$

As shown in [5],

$$\mathcal{D}^+(\mathcal{X})\Big|_{\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{X}\to 0} = \frac{i}{2^M\pi^{\frac{M}{2}}}\exp\left(\frac{i\pi I_X}{4}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det(\mathcal{X})|}}\Big|_{\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{X}\to 0} = \mathcal{D}^+(X)\,, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^-(\overline{\mathcal{X}})\Big|_{\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{X}\to 0} = -\frac{i}{2^M\pi^{\frac{M}{2}}}\exp\left(-\frac{i\pi I_X}{4}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det(\overline{\mathcal{X}})|}}\Big|_{\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{X}\to 0} = \mathcal{D}^-(X)\,, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$I_X = n_+ - n_- \tag{3.12}$$

is the inertia index of the matrix X^{AB} with n_+ and n_- being, respectively, the numbers of positive and negative eigenvalues of X^{AB}. Integral representation (3.6) provides definition of the regularized expression in the complex plane.

From (3.10), (3.11) it follows that

$$\mathcal{D}^+(Y|X) = \frac{i}{2^M\pi^{\frac{M}{2}}}\exp\left(\frac{i\pi I_X}{4}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det(\mathcal{X})|}}\exp\left(-\frac{i}{4}\mathcal{X}^{-1}_{AB}Y^AY^B\right)\Big|_{\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{X}\to 0} = \mathcal{D}^+(X)\exp\left(-\frac{i}{4}X^{-1}_{AB}Y^AY^B\right)\,, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^-(Y|X) = \frac{-i}{2^M\pi^{\frac{M}{2}}}\exp\left(-\frac{i\pi I_X}{4}\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det(\mathcal{X})|}}\exp\left(\frac{i}{4}\mathcal{X}^{-1}_{AB}Y^AY^B\right)\Big|_{\mathrm{Im}\,\mathcal{X}\to 0} = \mathcal{D}^-(X)\exp\left(\frac{i}{4}X^{-1}_{AB}Y^AY^B\right)\,. \tag{3.14}$$

As usual, D-functions provide integral representation for solutions of field equations. The simplest way to see this is to observe that $\mathcal{D}^\pm(Y'-Y|(X'-X)$ solves (2.1) with respect to both $X'\,,Y'$ and X,Y. Hence, with respect to primed variables,

$$\mathcal{D}^\pm(V'\mp U'-Y|(X'-X))C^\mp(V'\pm U'|X') \tag{3.15}$$

is a bilinear stress tensor of the form (2.24) that depends on Y and X as parameters. Hence, the respective (spin one) conserved charge gives a solution $C^{\mp}(Y|X)$ of (2.1) independent of the choice of integration surface and reproducing $C^{\mp}(Y'|X')$ at $X = X'$, $Y = Y'$ due to (3.5).

In this paper, we will use the evolution formulae in the Fock space of Y variables [26] which in the limit $\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{X} \to 0$ can be conveniently written as

$$C^a(Y|X) = i\int d^M Y'\; \mathcal{D}_a(Y'-Y|X'-X)C^a(Y'|X') \qquad \text{no summation over } a=+,-\,, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_a(Y|X) = \varepsilon_{ab}\mathcal{D}^b(Y|X)\,, \qquad \varepsilon_{-+} = -\varepsilon_{+-} = 1\,. \tag{3.17}$$

The following simple consequence of (3.16) plays the key role in the analysis of Section 7

$$\int d^M p\, \mathcal{D}_-(p-Y|-X)\mathcal{D}_+(p-Y'|-X') = i\mathcal{D}_-(Y-Y'|-X+X') = i\mathcal{D}_+(Y-Y'|X-X')\,. \tag{3.18}$$

Away from singularities, *i.e.,* at $\det X \neq 0$, from Eqs. (3.13), (3.14) it follows that

$$\mathcal{D}_\pm(Y|X) = \mathcal{D}_\pm(X)\exp\left(\pm\frac{i}{4}X^{-1}_{AB}Y^AY^B\right)\,, \qquad \mathcal{D}_+(y|X) = \mathcal{D}_-(Y|-X)\,, \tag{3.19}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_-(iY|-X) = \mathcal{D}_+(iY|X) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi I_X}{2}\right)\mathcal{D}_-(Y|X) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi I_X}{2}\right)\mathcal{D}_+(Y|-X)\,. \tag{3.20}$$

Formula (3.16) providing at fixed X' the map from the twistor space to (boundary) X space allows us to call $\mathcal{D}_a$ twistor-to-boundary $\mathcal{D}$-functions. In accordance with the discussion in the end of previous section, their extension to twistor-to-bulk $\mathcal{D}$-functions with the same initial data (3.5) provides an extension of the whole setup to the bulk.

Recall that D-functions have to be distinguished from Green functions. The former solve homogeneous field equations with δ-functional initial data while the latter solve inhomogeneous field equations with the δ-functional right-hand-side. Nevertheless they have similar form away from singularity. As discussed in [5], the difference is essentially due to step-functions in time. As a result, away from singularity, computation of chronologically ordered functions with causal Green functions gives the same result as Wightman functions with appropriately ordered arguments. In this paper we compute Wightman functions based on D-functions.

4 Quantization

4.1 Quantum fields

As usual, decomposition into positive– and negative–frequency parts (2.2) gives rise to the quantum creation and annihilation operators [5] $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^{\pm}_j(\xi)$ (j is color index). Let parity $\mathfrak{p}_j = 0, 1$ distinguish between bosons and fermions

$$\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^{\pm}_j(-\xi) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j}\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^{\pm}_j(\xi). \tag{4.1}$$

The (anti)commutation relations are [5]

$$[\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^-_j(\xi_1),\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^+_k(\xi_2)]_\pm = \delta_{jk}\frac{1}{2}\Big(\delta^M(\xi_1-\xi_2)+(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j\mathfrak{p}_k}\delta^M(\xi_1+\xi_2)\Big)\,, \qquad [\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^\pm_j(\xi_1),\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^\pm_k(\xi_2)]_\pm = 0\,. \tag{4.2}$$

For quantum fields

$$\widehat{C}^\pm_j(Y|X) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{M}{2}}\int d^M\xi\;\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^\pm_j(\xi)\exp\pm i\big(\,\xi_A\xi_B X^{AB}+\,\xi_A Y^A\big)\,. \tag{4.3}$$

this gives

$$[\widehat{C}^-_j(Y|X)\,,\,\widehat{C}^+_k(Y'|X')]_\pm = \frac{1}{2i}\delta_{jk}\left\{\mathcal{D}^-\left(Y-Y'|X-X'\right)+(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j\mathfrak{p}_k}\mathcal{D}^-\left(Y+Y'|X-X'\right)\right\}, \tag{4.4}$$

with $\mathcal{D}^-$ (3.4). By virtue of (3.5), at $X=X'$ this gives

$$[\widehat{C}^-_j(Y|X)\,,\,\widehat{C}^+_k(Y'|X)]_\pm = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{jk}\big(\delta(Y-Y')+(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j\mathfrak{p}_k}\delta(Y+Y')\big)\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Introducing $\tilde{\mu}^i(Y)$ containing Grassmann even and odd parts that are, respectively, even and odd in Y, it is convenient to introduce

$$\widehat{C}^\pm_{\tilde{\mu}}(Y|X) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\tilde{\mu}^i(Y)C^\pm_i(Y|X)+\tilde{\mu}^i(-Y)C^\pm_i(-Y|X)\Big)\,. \tag{4.6}$$

Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) take the form

$$[\widehat{C}^-_{\tilde{\mu}_-}(Y|X)\,,\,\widehat{C}^+_{\tilde{\mu}_+}(Y'|X')] = -i\delta_{jk}\tilde{\mu}^k_+(Y')\tilde{\mu}^j_-(Y)\mathcal{D}^-\left(Y-Y'|X-X'\right) \tag{4.7}$$

and hence

$$[\widehat{C}^-_{\tilde{\mu}_-}(Y|X)\,,\,\widehat{C}^+_{\tilde{\mu}_+}(Y'|X)] = \delta_{jk}\tilde{\mu}^k_+(Y')\tilde{\mu}^j_-(Y)\delta(Y-Y')\,. \tag{4.8}$$

4.2 Quantum currents

Consider generalized HS quantum stress tensor

$$\mathcal{T}_{j\,k}(Y_1,Y_2|X) =: \Phi_j(Y_1|X)\overline{\Phi}_k(Y_2|X) : \tag{4.9}$$

with Φ_j, $\overline{\Phi}_j$ (2.8). As usual, normal ordering sends $\hat{C}^+$ to the left and $\hat{C}^-$ to the right, *i.e.,*

$$:\hat{C}^-_k(Y_2|X)\hat{C}^+_j(Y_1|X) := (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j\mathfrak{p}_k}\hat{C}^+_j(Y_1|X)\hat{C}^-_k(Y_2|X)\,. \tag{4.10}$$

Substitution of (2.8) into (4.9) gives

$$\mathcal{T}_{j\,k}(Y_1,Y_2|X) = \sum_{a,b=+-}(\kappa^a_1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j}(\kappa^b_2)^{\mathfrak{p}_k}\mathcal{T}^{ab}_{j\,k}(\kappa^a_1 Y_1,\kappa^b_2 Y_2|X)\,, \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}^{a\,b}_{j\,k}(W_1,W_2|X) = :\hat{C}^a_j(W_1|X)\hat{C}^b_k(W_2|X): \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\kappa^+_1 = \kappa^-_2 = 1\,, \qquad \kappa^+_2 = \kappa^-_1 = i\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{T}^{a\,b}_{j\,k}(W_1,W_2|X) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j\mathfrak{p}_k}\mathcal{T}^{b\,a}_{k\,j}(W_2,W_1|X)\,,\\
&\mathcal{T}^{a\,b}_{j\,k}(W_1,W_2|X) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j}\mathcal{T}^{a\,b}_{j\,k}(-W_1,W_2|X) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_k}\mathcal{T}^{a\,b}_{j\,k}(W_1,-W_2|X)\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$(\kappa^a_1)^{-1} = a\kappa^a_1\,, \qquad (\kappa^a_2)^{-1} = -a\kappa^a_2\,, \qquad i\kappa^a_2 = -a\kappa^a_1\,. \tag{4.15}$$

Let us extend the action of ρ (2.9) to $\mathcal{T}_{j\,k}(Y_1\,,Y_2|X)$ assuming that ρ is an antiautomorphism, *i.e.,*

$$\rho(\mathcal{T}_{j\,k}(Y_1,Y_2|X)) = :\rho(\overline{\Phi}_k(Y_2|X))\rho(\Phi_j(Y_1|X)):\ . \tag{4.16}$$

Using (2.13) along with

$$i^{\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}} = i^{\mathfrak{p}_j+\mathfrak{p}_k}(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_k\mathfrak{p}_j}\ , \qquad \mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k} = (\mathfrak{p}_j+\mathfrak{p}_k\,) \mod 2 \qquad (p \mod 2 = 0 \text{ or } 1)\,, \tag{4.17}$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}$ is the boson-fermion parity of $\mathcal{T}_{j\,k}(Y_1\,,Y_2|X)$, this gives formula analogous to (2.9)

$$\rho\big(\mathcal{T}_{j\,k}(Y_1\,,Y_2|X)\big) = i^{\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}}\mathcal{T}_{k\,j}(iY_2\,,iY_1|X)\,. \tag{4.18}$$

Note that ρ (anti)symmetrizes inner indices of boson stress tensors with $\mathfrak{p}_{j,k} = 0$ (*i.e.,* , $\mathfrak{p}_j = \mathfrak{p}_k$) of (odd)even spins s defined as the homogeneity degree of Y, *i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{T}_{j\,k}(\lambda Y_1\,,\lambda Y_2|X) = \lambda^{2s}\mathcal{T}_{j\,k}(Y_1\,,Y_2|X)\,. \tag{4.19}$$

Consider currents

$$\mathcal{J}_\eta(Y_1,Y_2|X) = \eta^{j\,k}(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{T}_{j\,k}(Y_1,Y_2|X) \tag{4.20}$$

with parameters $\eta^{j\,k}(\mathcal{A})$ polynomial in differential operators $\mathcal{A}_\pm(Y|X)$ (2.27). Since Φ_j , $\overline{\Phi}_j$ obey the unfolded equations (2.14), $\mathcal{J}_\eta(Y_1,Y_2|X)$ obeys Eq. (2.25). Hence, $\Omega(\mathcal{J}_\eta)$ (2.21) is a closed $M-$form, defining a conserved charge (2.23). *Space-time currents* are

$$\mathcal{J}_\eta(X) = \mathcal{J}_\eta(Y_1,Y_2|X)\big|_{Y=0}\,. \tag{4.21}$$

To relate statistics of η^{jk} to that of $\mathcal{T}_{jk}$, *i.e.,* $\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}$ (4.17) , we require

$$\mathcal{J}_\eta(-Y_1,-Y_2|X) = \mathcal{J}_\eta(Y_1,Y_2|X)\ : \qquad \eta^{j\,k}(-\mathcal{A}) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}}\eta^{j\,k}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{4.22}$$

with Grassmann (odd)even η^{jk} for $\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k} = (1)0$

$$\eta^{j\,k}(\mathcal{A}(Y|X))\eta'^{m\,n}(\mathcal{A}(Y'|X')) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}\mathfrak{p}_{m,\,n}}\eta'^{m\,n}(\mathcal{A}(Y'|X'))\eta^{j\,k}(\mathcal{A}(Y|X))\ . \tag{4.23}$$

In addition, symmetry parameters are required to have the same Y-parities as elementary fields

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^{k\,m}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X)) &= (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_k}\eta^{k\,m}(\mathcal{A}_+(-Y_1|X),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X))\,, \\ \eta^{k\,m}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X)) &= (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m}\eta^{k\,m}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X),\mathcal{A}_-(-Y_2|X))\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Taking into account properties (2.28) of $\mathcal{A}$, let ρ act on parameters $\eta^{j\,k}(\mathcal{A})$ as

$$\rho\big(\eta^{j\,k}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2))\big) = i^{\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}}(i\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2),i\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1))\,. \tag{4.25}$$

Hence, by virtue of (4.24), (4.25), (4.22) the action of ρ remains involutive on η. As a result,

$$\eta = \eta^+ + \eta^-\,, \qquad \eta^\pm = \frac{1}{2}\big(\eta \pm \rho(\eta)\big)\,. \tag{4.26}$$

More generally, we introduce

$$\mathrm{J}^{a\,b}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X_1,X_2) = (\kappa^a_1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j}(\kappa^b_2)^{\mathfrak{p}_k}\gamma^{j\,k}_{a\,b}\Big(\mathcal{A}_+\,(Y_1|X_1)\,,\mathcal{A}_-\,(Y_2|X_2)\Big)\,\mathcal{T}^{a\,b}_{j\,k}(\kappa^a_1 Y_1,\kappa^b_2 Y_2|X_1,X_2) \tag{4.27}$$

with arbitrary $\gamma^{j\,k}_{a\,b}\Big(\mathcal{A}_+\,(Y_1|X_1)\,,\mathcal{A}_-\,(Y_2|X_2)\Big)$ and

$$\mathcal{T}^{a\,b}_{j\,k}(W_1,W_2|X_1,X_2) = :\hat{C}^a_j(W_1|X_1)\hat{C}^b_k(W_2|X_2):\ . \tag{4.28}$$

Evidently,

$$\mathrm{J}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X) = \sum_{a,b}\mathrm{J}^{a\,b}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X,X) \equiv \sum_{a,b}\mathrm{J}^{a\,b}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X). \tag{4.29}$$

Containing different frequency parts, such currents can be used for computation of amplitudes. Hence, we call them A-currents. On the other hand, full current, called later on F-current, is a particular case of J_γ at $\gamma^{k\,j}_{ab} = \eta^{k\,j}$ $\forall a,b$, *i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{J}_\eta(Y_1,Y_2|X) = \sum_{a,b}\mathrm{J}^{ab}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X,X)\Big|_{\gamma_{ab}=\eta}\,. \tag{4.30}$$

F-currents are unfolded dynamics analogues of classical currents.

The action of ρ on parameters $\gamma^{j\,k}_{ab}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2))$ is defined analogously

$$\rho\big(\gamma^{j\,k}_{ab}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2))\big) = i^{\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}}\gamma^{k\,j}_{ba}(i\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2),i\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1))\,. \tag{4.31}$$

Again,

$$\gamma = \gamma^+ + \gamma^-\,, \qquad \gamma^\pm = \frac{1}{2}\big(\gamma \pm \rho(\gamma)\big)\,. \tag{4.32}$$

Analogously to (4.23), we require

$$\gamma^{j\,k}_{a\,b}(\mathcal{A}(Y|X))\gamma'^{m\,n}_{c\,d}(\mathcal{A}(Y'|X')) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}\mathfrak{p}_{m,\,n}}\gamma'^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(\mathcal{A}(Y'|X'))\gamma^{j\,k}_{c\,d}(\mathcal{A}(Y|X))\ . \tag{4.33}$$

Analogously to $2r$-linear currents (2.47), $2r$-linear quantum currents are normal-ordered products of the bilinear ones

$$\mathcal{J}^{2r}_{\eta_1\dots\eta_r}(Y_1,\dots,Y_{2r}|X_1\dots X_r) = \eta^{j_1\,k_1;\dots;j_r\,k_r}(\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_r)\mathcal{T}_{j_1\,k_1;\dots;j_r\,k_r}(Y_1,\dots,Y_{2r}|X_1\dots X_r)\,, \tag{4.34}$$

where

$$\eta^{j_1\,k_1;\dots;j_r\,k_r}(\mathcal{A}_1,\dots,\mathcal{A}_r) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r}\Big(\eta^{j_\alpha\,k_\alpha}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_{2\alpha-1}|X_\alpha),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_{2\alpha}|X_\alpha))\Big)\,,$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{j_1\,k_1;\dots;j_r\,k_r}(Y_1,\dots,Y_{2r}|X_1\dots X_r) = :\Big(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{r}\mathcal{T}_{j_\alpha\,k_\alpha}(Y_{2\alpha-1},Y_{2\alpha}|X_\alpha)\Big):\,. \tag{4.35}$$

Now we are in a position to consider algebra of quantum charges in Section 5 and OPE of currents in Section 6.

5 Algebra of charges

5.1 Charges

As shown in Section 2, nonzero charges Q and $\widetilde{Q}$ (2.23) are supported by currents with parameters $\eta(\mathcal{B})$ and $\widetilde{\eta}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$ with $\mathcal{B}$ (2.32) and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ (2.33), respectively. Decomposition (4.29) of currents induces a similar decomposition of the differential forms (2.21) and charges (2.23)

$$Q_\eta = \sum_{ab} Q^{ab}_\eta\,,\qquad Q^{ab}_\eta = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma_{ab}}\Omega^{ab}(J_\eta)\,,\qquad \Omega^{ab}(J_\eta) = \Omega(J^{ab}_\eta) \tag{5.1}$$

and similarly for $\tilde{Q}$.

Let us first consider the charges Q with parameters $\eta(\mathcal{B})$ independent of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. In this case,

$$\Omega^{ab}(J_\eta) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\Big(i\,d\,X^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial U^B} + d\,V^A\Big)^M\; J^{ab}_\eta(U,\,V\,|X)\Big|_{U=0}\,, \tag{5.2}$$

where $J^{ab}_\eta(U,\,V\,|X) = \mathcal{J}^{ab}_\eta(V-U,V+U)$ (4.30). As a first step, we show that

$$Q^{++}_\eta = Q^{--}_\eta = 0\,,\qquad Q_\eta = Q^{+-}_\eta + Q^{-+}_\eta = Q_{\eta^a}\,,\qquad Q^{-+}_{\eta^a} = Q^{+-}_{\eta^a}\,,\qquad a = \mathrm{sign}(i^M)\,, \tag{5.3}$$

where η^a (4.26) is the (anti)symmetric component of η with respect of ρ (4.25) (M is even).

In particular, for $M=2$ a bosonic parameter $\eta^{\mathrm{sign}(i^2)}$ is antisymmetric, while for $M=4$ $\eta^{\mathrm{sign}(i^4)}$ is symmetric. Note that in the case of $M=4$ the fields and currents depend on coordinates X^{AB} of the ten-dimensional matrix space. As shown in [26], global symmetry parameters of the current in the matrix space should be singular to reproduce a nonzero current in Minkowski space. This singularity is antisymmetric with respect of ρ. Hence genuine symmetry parameters of the currents in Minkowski space are also ρ antisymmetric. This phenomenon is expected to occur for higher M as well.

Let us show that $\Omega^{++}(J_\eta)$ (5.2) with $\eta = \eta(\mathcal{B}^A, \mathcal{B}_A)$ is exact. By virtue of (4.3) and (4.15)

$$\mathcal{J}^{ab}_{\eta}(Y_1, Y_2|X) = \frac{(\kappa^a_1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j}(\kappa^b_2)^{\mathfrak{p}_k}}{(2\pi)^M} \int d^M\xi_1 d^M\xi_2 \ : \hat{c}^a_i(\xi_1)\hat{c}^b_j(\xi_2) : \eta^{ij}(\mathcal{K}_a(\xi_1, Y_1|X), \mathcal{K}_b(\xi_2, Y_2|X)) \tag{5.4}$$
$$\exp\Big(a\,i\big(\ \xi_{1A}\xi_{1B}X^{AB} + \kappa^a_1\, Y_1^{\ B}\xi_{1B}\big) + b\,i\big(\ \xi_{2A}\xi_{2B}X^{AB} + \kappa^b_2 Y_2^{\ B}\xi_{2B}\big)\Big)\,,$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_a{}^B(\xi_j, Y_j|X) = i\kappa^a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_{jB}}\ , \qquad \mathcal{K}_{aC}(\xi_j, Y_j|X) = ai\kappa^a_j\xi_{jC}\ \ . \tag{5.5}$$

Hence, (5.2) gives by virtue of (5.4) along with (2.32)

$$\Omega^{++}(J_\eta) = (i)^{\mathfrak{p}_k}\frac{1}{2(2\pi)^M} d^{\,M}W\ d^M\xi\, d^M\lambda\ \hat{\mathfrak{c}}^+_j(\xi)\,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^+_k(\lambda) \tag{5.6}$$
$$\eta^{j\,k}_{-}\left(-i(\xi - i\lambda)\,, -i\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi + i\lambda}\Big)\right)\exp\Big(iX^{AB}(\xi_A\xi_B + \lambda_A\lambda_B) + iV^C(i\lambda_C + \xi_C)\Big)\,,$$

where $W^A = \Big(i\ X^{AB}(\xi - i\lambda)_B + V^A\Big)$. It is easy to see that $\Omega^{++}(J_\eta) = d\omega^{++}_{M-1}$, where

$$\omega^{++}_{M-1} = -\frac{(i)^{\mathfrak{p}_k}}{2M(2\pi)^M}\int d^M\xi d^M\lambda\,\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^+_j(\xi)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^+_k(\lambda)\,\eta^{j\,k}\left(-i(\xi - i\lambda), -i\Big(\frac{\partial}{\xi + i\lambda}\Big)\right)\varepsilon_{A_1,\dots,A_M} \tag{5.7}$$
$$dW^{A_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dW^{A_{M-1}}\frac{\mathcal{P}^{A_M\,B}(\xi_B - i\lambda_B)}{i\mathcal{P}^{C\,D}(\xi_C\xi_B + \lambda_C\lambda_D)}\exp\Big(i\big(X^{AB}(\xi_B - i\lambda_B) + V^A\big)(\xi_A + i\lambda_A)\Big)$$

with any positive definite matrix $\mathcal{P}^{C\,D}$. Here it is important, that for $M \geq 2$ the singularity in (5.7) is integrable. Analogously, $\Omega^{--}(J_\eta)$ (5.2) is also exact. Hence,

$$Q^{++}_\eta = Q^{--}_\eta = 0. \tag{5.8}$$

To prove the remaining relations in Eq. (5.3), consider Q^{+-}_η. Following [34] (see also [26]), we choose the integration surface $\Sigma^M = \Sigma_X$ to be a plane in $\mathcal{M}_M \times \mathbb{C}^M$ parametrized by coordinates x^i with $i = 1\dots M$, *i.e.*,

$$X^{AB} = U^{AB}_i x^i\,, \qquad V^A = U^A = 0\,. \tag{5.9}$$

Using (5.4) and (5.9) along with (2.26) and (2.32), we obtain from (5.2)

$$Q^{+-}_\eta \ \ = \ \ \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{-M}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^Mx \int d^M(\xi + \lambda)d^M(\xi - \lambda)\ \ \det\Big|\mathfrak{D}\big\{\xi + \lambda\big\}\Big|\,c^+_j(\xi)c^-_k(\lambda) \tag{5.10}$$
$$\eta^{j\,k}\left(-i(\xi + \lambda)\,, -i\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Big)\right)\exp\Big(i\mathfrak{D}^A_n\big\{\xi + \lambda\big\}\,(\xi_A - \lambda_A)x^n\Big)\,,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{D}^A_n\big\{\xi + \lambda\big\} = U^{AB}_n(\xi_B + \lambda_B)\,. \tag{5.11}$$

Let $U_i^{\alpha\beta}$ in (5.9) be chosen so that roots of det $\Big|\mathfrak{D}(\xi+\xi')\Big|$ are independent linear real functions of $\xi+\xi'$ (that is generically true). Observing that the measure in (5.10) is equivalent to $\delta^2(\xi-\lambda)$ integration gives

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\eta^{+-} &= \frac{1}{2}\int d^M\xi\,\eta^{j\,k}\left(-i2\xi\,,-i\frac{\partial}{2\partial\nu}\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_j^+(\xi+\nu)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_k^-(\xi-\nu)\Big|_{\nu=0} \\ &= \int d^M\xi\,\left(\eta^{j\,k}\left(-i2\xi\,,-i\frac{\partial}{2\partial\xi}\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_j^+(\xi)\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_k^-(\xi)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Analogously

$$Q_\eta^{-+} = i^{\mathfrak{p}(\eta)}i^M\int d^M\xi\,\left(\eta^{k\,j}\left(-2\xi\,,-\frac{\partial}{2\partial\xi}\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_j^+(\xi)\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_k^-(\xi)\,. \tag{5.13}$$

By virtue of (2.32) and (4.25) we obtain (5.3) in the form

$$Q_\eta = \int d^M\xi\,\left(\left(1+i^M\rho\right)\left(\eta^{j\,k}\left(-i2\xi\,,-i\frac{\partial}{2\partial\xi}\right)\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_j^+(\xi)\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}_k^-(\xi)\,. \tag{5.14}$$

Integration of charges over the twistor space with coordinates V, using

$$\eta^{m\,n}(\mathcal{B}^A(U,V|X),\mathcal{B}_A(U,V|X))\Big|_{X=0} = \eta^{m\,n}(V,\,\partial_U) \tag{5.15}$$

gives equivalent results. However, there is a subtlety that, to keep integrals well-defined, one has to integrate different components Q^{ab} over different cycles in the complex twistor space, which is possible because every Q^{ab} represents a conserved charge. To give precise meaning to integrals it is useful to use the formulation in Siegel space of which makes the ξ integration well-defined. Skipping details, the proper definition of the charges (5.1) is

$$Q_\eta^{+-} = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Sigma^{+-}} d^Mv\quad\eta^{jk}(v,\,\partial_u)\mathcal{T}^{+-}_{j\,k}(v-u,v+u|0)|_{u=0}\,, \tag{5.16}$$

$$Q_\eta^{++} = \frac{1}{2}(i)^{\mathfrak{p}}\int_{\Sigma^{++}} d^Mz\quad\eta^{j\,k}(z,\,\partial_{\bar z})\mathcal{T}^{++}_{j\,k}(z-\bar z,i(z+\bar z)|0)|_{\bar z=0}\,, \tag{5.17}$$

$$Q_\eta^{--} = \frac{1}{2}(i)^{\mathfrak{p}}\int_{\Sigma^{--}} d^Mz\quad\eta^{jk}(z,\,\partial_{\bar z})\mathcal{T}^{--}_{j\,k}(i(z-\bar z),z+\bar z|0)|_{\bar z=0}\,, \tag{5.18}$$

$$Q_\eta^{-+} = \frac{1}{2}(i)^M\int_{\Sigma^{-+}} d^Mv\quad\eta^{j\,k}(iv,i\partial_u)\mathcal{T}^{-+}_{j\,k}(-v-u,u-v|0)|_{u=0}\,, \tag{5.19}$$

where $\Sigma^{-+}=\Sigma^{+-}=\mathbb{R}^M$ while $\Sigma^{--}=\Sigma^{++}=\gamma^M$ where γ^M is any M-dimensional closed cycle in $\mathbb{C}^M(z,\bar z)$. With this definition, the charges $Q_\eta^{++}=Q_\eta^{--}=0$ as being represented by integrals of analytic functions. (Using formulation in Siegel space, the corresponding contours can be deformed to infinity where the integrals are zero.) For Q_η^{+-} and Q_η^{-+} this prescription again gives (5.3).

By (2.43), the analysis of charges $\widetilde{Q}$ is fully analogous, giving

$$\widetilde{Q}^{+-}_{\widetilde{\eta}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})} = \int d^M\xi \left(\widetilde{\eta}^{j\,k}\left(2i\xi\,, i\frac{\partial}{2\partial\xi}\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^+_j(\xi)\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^-_k(-\xi)\ , \tag{5.20}$$

$$\widetilde{Q}^{-+}_{\widetilde{\eta}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})} = i^M i^{\mathfrak{p}(\eta)}\int d^M\xi \left(\widetilde{\eta}^{j\,k}\left(-2\xi\,, -\frac{\partial}{2\partial\xi}\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^+_j(\xi)\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^-_k(-\xi)\,. \tag{5.21}$$

By virtue of (4.25), (2.33), the counterpart of (5.14) is

$$\widetilde{Q}_{\widetilde{\eta}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})} = \int d^M\xi \left(\left(1+i^M\rho\right)\left(\widetilde{\eta}^{j\,k}\left(i2\xi\,, i\frac{\partial}{2\partial\xi}\right)\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^+_j(\xi)\right)\hat{\mathfrak{c}}^-_k(-\xi)\,. \tag{5.22}$$

5.2 Higher-spin algebra

Let us show that

$$[Q_\eta, Q_{\eta'}] = Q_{[\eta\,,\,\eta']_\star}\ , \tag{5.23}$$

where

$$[Q_\eta, Q_{\eta'}] = Q_\eta\, Q_{\eta'} - Q_\eta\, Q_{\eta'}\ , \qquad [a\,, b]_\star = a\star\, b - b\star\, a\,,$$

and

$$(a\star\, b)^{k\,n}(u,v) = \delta_{jm}a^{k\,j}\,(u,\, v)\exp\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial u_C}\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial v^C} - \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial v^C}\frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial u_C}\right)b^{m\,n}\,(u,\, v)\ . \tag{5.24}$$

An important property of the star product is that it possesses antiautomorphism ρ [35]

$$\rho(a^{mn}(u,v)) := (i)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)}a^{nm}(iu, iv)\,, \qquad a^{mn}(-u,-v) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}(a)}a^{mn}(u,v)\,, \tag{5.25}$$

i.e., assuming that the coefficients of $a(u,v)$ are endowed with the Grassmann parity $\mathfrak{p}(a)$,

$$\rho(a\star b) = \rho(b)\star\rho(a)\,. \tag{5.26}$$

Hence, for ρ-odd a and a', $[a\,,\,a']_\star$ is also ρ-odd.

The proof of (5.23) is straightforward. By virtue of (5.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[Q_\eta, Q_{\eta'}] &= \int d^MVd^MV'\ \eta^{j\,k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial U^A}, V^B\right)\eta'^{m\,n}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial U'^A}, V'^B\right) \\ &\quad \left[\widehat{C}^+_j(V-U|0)\widehat{C}^-_k(V+U|0)\,, \widehat{C}^+_m(V'-U'|0)\widehat{C}^-_n(V'+U'|0)\right]\big|_{U=U'=0}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

Using (4.5) along with (4.23), integration over V gives

$$\begin{aligned}[Q_\eta, Q_{\eta'}] &= \Bigg(\delta_{jm}\int d^Mv'\eta^{k\,j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial U^A}, (v'-U')^B\right)\eta'^{\,m\,n}_{\,-}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial U'^A}, (v'+U)^B\right) \\ &\quad \widehat{C}^+_k\,(v'-(U+U')|0)\,\widehat{C}^-_n\,(v'+U+U'|0) \\ &\quad -\delta_{nk}\int d^Mv\eta'^{\,m\,n}_{\,-}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial U'^A}, (v-U)^B\right)\eta^{k\,j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial U^A}, (v+U')^B\right) \\ &\quad \widehat{C}^+_m\,(v-(U+U')|0)\,\widehat{C}^-_j\,(v+U+U'|0)\Bigg)\big|_{U=U'=0}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
[Q_\eta, Q_{\eta'}] = \Big(\int d^M v' (\eta \star \eta')^{m\,n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^A}, \, v'^B \right) \widehat{C}^+_k (v' - u|0) \widehat{C}^-_n (v' + u|0) \\
- \int d^M v (\eta' \star \eta)^{mj} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^A}, \, v^B \right) \widehat{C}^+_m (v - u|0) \widehat{C}^-_j (v + u|0) \Big) |_{u=0} \,,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies (5.23).

Using that Q is related to $\widetilde{Q}$ via (2.43) it can be shown that

$$
[\widetilde{Q}_{\tilde\eta}, \widetilde{Q}_{\tilde\eta'}] \;=\; Q_{[K\eta\,, K\eta']_\star} \,, \qquad [\widetilde{Q}_{\tilde\eta}, Q_{\eta'}] = \widetilde{Q}_{K[K\tilde\eta\,, \eta']_\star} \,, \tag{5.29}
$$

with the convention

$$
K^2 = 1 \,, \qquad K\eta = (-1)^{\mathtt{p}(\eta)} \eta \,. \tag{5.30}
$$

These commutation relations have the same form as those of the HS subalgebra of the algebra of [36] described by the symmetry parameters $\eta(u, v; K)$ that, in addition to spinor variables, depend on the Klein operator K possessing properties (5.30). In other words, combining Q and $\widetilde{Q}$ into $\mathcal{Q}$ with the parameter

$$
\varepsilon = \eta + K\tilde\eta \,, \tag{5.31}
$$

the commutation relations (5.23) and (5.29) combine to

$$
[\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon, \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon'}] = \mathcal{Q}_{[\varepsilon\,, \varepsilon']_\star} \,. \tag{5.32}
$$

More precisely, in the case of $M = 2$, the resulting algebra of charges $\mathcal{Q}$ is isomorphic to the HS algebra $ho(N, N|4)$ in notations of [37]. In this paper, it appears as the $3d$ conformal HS algebra acting on $3d$ conformal fields realized as rank-one $M = 2$ fields of Section 2. In accordance with consideration of [37], the doubling of fields is because the $3d$ conformal HS symmetry acts both on bosons and on fermions. In particular, its even subalgebra decomposes into direct sum of two isomorphic algebras acting on $3d$ massless scalar and spinor, respectively. All other types of HS algebras $hu(n, m|4)$, $ho(n, m|4)$ and $husp(n, m|4)$ can be obtained along the lines of [37] as proper subalgebras of $ho(N, N|4)$ preserving appropriate additional structures (bilinear forms or gradings) with respect to inner indices.

Note that multiparticle algebra M can be realized as the algebra of all polynomials of Q_ε with various ε and unity. By virtue of (5.32) it can be realized as the algebra of symmetrized polynomials of ε, or, equivalently, as the algebra of polynomials of a single ε. This is the realization considered in [17].

6 Twistor current operator algebra

Free bilinear twistor currents are most conveniently described as

$$
\mathcal{I}^2_g = \sum_{a,b=+,-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2M}} d^{2M} W \quad g^{m\,n}_{ab}(W_1, W_2)\, \mathcal{T}^{ab}_{mn}(W_1, \, W_2|0) \tag{6.1}
$$

with $\mathcal{T}^{ab}_{kj}(W_1,\, W_2|0)$ (4.12). Symmetry properties (4.14) of $\mathcal{T}^{ab}_{mn}(W_1,\, W_2|0)$ imply that

$$g^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(W_1, W_2) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m} g^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(-W_1, W_2) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_n} g^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(W_1, -W_2)\,, \qquad \mu(g) = g\,, \tag{6.2}$$

where

$$\mu(g)^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(W_1, W_2) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m \mathfrak{p}_n} g^{n\,m}_{b\,a}(W_2, W_1)\,. \tag{6.3}$$

Also, we require

$$g^{n\,m}_{b\,a}\, g'^{j\,k}_{c\,d} = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_{n,\,m}\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}} g'^{j\,k}_{c\,d}\, g^{n\,m}_{b\,a}\,, \tag{6.4}$$

with $\mathfrak{p}_{j,\,k}$ (4.17). Otherwise, the distribution $g(W_1, W_2)$ remains arbitrary. Note that due to (6.4)

$$:\mathcal{I}^2_f \mathcal{I}^2_g: = :\mathcal{I}^2_g \mathcal{I}^2_f: \quad \forall\, g\,, f\,. \tag{6.5}$$

In Section 7, using evolution formula (3.16), the space-time dependent current will be represented as the twistor space current with the function $g(W_1, W_2)$ that depends in a specific way on space-time coordinates as parameters. This trick fully reduces the construction of space-time operator product algebra to the much simpler problem in the twistor space.

More generally, via uplifting of twistor-to-boundary $\mathcal{D}$-functions to twistor-to-bulk ones as discussed in the end of Section 3, it can be straightforwardly extended to the bulk where the conformal boundary algebra acts.

6.1 OPE of twistor currents

The basis of current operator algebra consists of $2n$ twistor currents

$$\mathcal{I}^{2n}_{g_1\times\ldots\times g_n} = :\prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{I}^2_{g_j}:\,, \qquad \mathcal{I}^0 = Id\,. \tag{6.6}$$

Since currents $\mathcal{I}^{2n}_{g_1\times\ldots\times g_n}$ are symmetric linear functionals of $g_1\times\ldots\times g_n$, the twistor operator algebra M can be represented as an algebra of nonlinear functionals of a single function $g(W_1, W_2)$ (skipping color indices) in which form it was described in [17]. Though the final results can be derived by methods of [17], here we will use a slightly different setup based on interesting algebraic structures most appropriate for the description of n-point functions. In any case, to determine full current operator algebra it suffices to compute $\mathcal{I}^{2n}\mathcal{I}^2$ for any n.

The cases of A-currents with the parameters $g^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(W_1, W_2)$, that are independent for different values of indices a, b modulo symmetry properties (6.2), and F-currents with the coefficients $g^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(W_1, W_2)$ at different a, b related to each other to reproduce (4.9), are different. We start with the more general A-current case.

From the commutation relations (4.5) along with (6.2) and (6.4) it follows that

$$\mathcal{I}^2_g \mathcal{I}^2_{g'} = \mathcal{I}^4_{g\times g'} + \mathcal{I}^2{}_{g\diamondsuit g'} + tr_{\triangleright}(g \triangleleft g')\mathcal{I}^0\,, \tag{6.7}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}^4{}_{g\times g'} = :\mathcal{I}^2_g \mathcal{I}^2_{g'}: \tag{6.8}$$

is the 4-current (6.6),

$$g\diamondsuit g' := g \triangleleft g' + g' \triangleright g\,, \tag{6.9}$$

$$(g \triangleleft g')^{mn}_{ab}(W_1, W_2) = 2\delta_{kj}\tau^{dc}\int dp\; g^{mk}_{a\,c}(W_1, p)\, g'^{jn}_{d\,b}(p, W_2)\,, \tag{6.10}$$

$$(g \triangleright g')^{mn}_{ab}(W_1, W_2) = 2\delta_{kj}\tau^{c\,d}\int dp\; g^{mk}_{a\,c}(W_1, -p)\, g'^{jn}_{d\,b}(p, W_2) \tag{6.11}$$

with

$$\tau^{ab} = \delta^a_+\delta^b_- \tag{6.12}$$

and

$$tr_{\triangleleft}(g) = 2\delta_{mn}\tau^{ab}\!\int\! dp\, g^{mn}_{a\,b}(-p, p)\,, \qquad tr_{\triangleright}(g) = 2\delta_{mn}\tau^{ba}\!\int\! dp\, g^{mn}_{a\,b}(p, p)\,. \tag{6.13}$$

The product laws $\triangleleft$ and $\triangleright$ are both associative similarly to the product of matrices $(hh')_{ab} = h_{ac}t^{cd}h'_{db}$ with some t^{cd}. Moreover, they are mutually associative *i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned} ((g \triangleright g') \triangleleft f)^{mn}_{ab}(W_1, W_2) &= (g \triangleright (g' \triangleleft f))^{mn}_{ab}(W_1, W_2)\,, \\ (g \triangleleft (g' \triangleright f))^{mn}_{ab}(W_1, W_2) &= ((g \triangleleft g') \triangleright f)^{mn}_{ab}(W_1, W_2)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

hence providing an example of a biassociative algebra discussed in [17]. One can see that

$$tr_{\triangleright}(g) = tr_{\triangleleft}(\mu(g))\,, \tag{6.15}$$

and

$$tr_{\triangleleft}(g \triangleleft f) = tr_{\triangleleft}(f \triangleleft g)\;, \qquad tr_{\triangleright}(g \triangleright f) = tr_{\triangleright}(f \triangleright g)\,, \tag{6.16}$$

i.e., $tr_{\triangleleft}$ and $tr_{\triangleright}$ are supertraces on the algebras $A_{\triangleright}$ and $A_{\triangleleft}$ with the respective products. In addition, they are related to each other via

$$tr_{\triangleright}(g \triangleleft f) = tr_{\triangleleft}(f \triangleright g)\,, \qquad tr_{\triangleleft}(g \triangleleft f) = tr_{\triangleright}(g \triangleright f)\,. \tag{6.17}$$

By virtue of (6.3) and (6.4),

$$(g \triangleright g')^{mn}_{ab}(W_1, W_2) = \mu\Big(\big(\mu(g') \triangleleft \mu(g)\big)^{mn}_{ab}\Big)\,. \tag{6.18}$$

From (6.18) it follows in particular that if g and g' obey (6.3), $g\diamondsuit g'$ also does, which, in fact, is the reason for appearance of this combination in Eq. (6.7).

Consider the commutator

$$[\mathcal{I}^2_g\,, \mathcal{I}^2_{g'}] = \mathcal{I}^2_g\mathcal{I}^2_{g'} - \mathcal{I}^2_{g'}\mathcal{I}^2_g\,.$$

Because of Eq. (6.7), $\mathcal{I}^4$ does not contribute. Taking into account (6.17) we obtain

$$[\mathcal{I}^2_g\,, \mathcal{I}^2_{g'}] = \mathcal{I}^2_{[g\,,g']_\bullet} + \frac{1}{2}(tr_{\triangleright} + tr_{\triangleleft})\big([g\,, g']_\bullet\big)Id\,, \tag{6.19}$$

where

$$[g\,, g']_\bullet = g \bullet g' - g' \bullet g\,,$$

$$(g \bullet g')^{mn}_{ab}(W_1, W_2) = (g \triangleleft g')^{mn}_{ab}(W_1, W_2) - (g \triangleright g')^{mn}_{ab}(W_1, W_2)\,. \tag{6.20}$$

The product law $\bullet$ is associative as well as any other linear combination of $\triangleleft$ and $\triangleright$.

Analogously to the product (6.7) of two bilinear currents it is not difficult to obtain the product of $2n-$linear current (6.6) and bilinear current using (4.5), (6.2) and (6.4) along with (6.14)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}^{2n}_{g_1\times....\times g_n}\mathcal{I}^2_f \;=\; & \mathcal{I}^{2n+2}_{g_1\times....\times g_n\times f} + \sum_{k=1,\dots,n} \mathcal{I}^{2n}_{g_1\times...\widehat{g}_k...\times g_n\times(g_k\triangleleft f+f\triangleright g_k)} + \\ & \sum_{k=1,\dots,n} tr_{\triangleright}(g_k \triangleleft\ f)\mathcal{I}^{2n-2}_{g_1\times...\widehat{g}_k...\times g_n} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,p=1,\dots,n} \mathcal{I}^{2n-2}_{g_1\times..\widehat{g}_p...\widehat{g}_k...\times g_n\times(g_k\triangleleft f\triangleright g_p+g_p\triangleleft f\triangleright g_k)}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

where $\widehat{g}_k,\ \widehat{g}_p$ denote omitted terms.

Using (6.10), (6.13), (6.18), (6.17) and (6.21), one can obtain, in particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}^2_g\mathcal{I}^2_{g'}\mathcal{I}^2_{g''} \;=\; & \mathcal{I}^6_{g\times g'\times g''} + \mathcal{I}^4{}_{g\times g'\diamondsuit g''} + \mathcal{I}^4{}_{g'\times g\diamondsuit g''} + \mathcal{I}^4{}_{g\diamondsuit g'\times g''} + \\ & \mathcal{I}^2{}_{g\triangleleft g''\triangleright g'} + \mathcal{I}^2{}_{g''\triangleright g\triangleleft g'} + \mathcal{I}^2{}_{g'\triangleright g\triangleleft g''} + \mathcal{I}^2{}_{g'\triangleleft g''\triangleright g} + \mathcal{I}^2{}_{g\triangleleft g'\triangleleft g''} + \mathcal{I}^2{}_{g''\triangleright g'\triangleright g} + \\ & tr_{\triangleright}(g \triangleleft g')\mathcal{I}^2_{g''} + tr_{\triangleright}(g' \triangleleft g'')\mathcal{I}^2_g + tr_{\triangleright}(g \triangleleft g'')\mathcal{I}^2_{g'} + \\ & tr_{\triangleright}(g \triangleleft g' \triangleleft g'')\mathcal{I}^0 + tr_{\triangleleft}(g'' \triangleright g' \triangleright g)\mathcal{I}^0\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

By virtue of (6.7) and (6.14), Eq. (6.21) allows us to derive the manifest formula for $\mathcal{I}^2_{g_1}\dots\mathcal{I}^2_{g_n}$.

6.2 Star-product interpretation

The current equation (2.20) is mapped to a first-order PDE system by the half -Fourier transform [26]. A similar transformation is known to map the convolution product to Weyl-Moyal star product (see, e.g., [38]).

Indeed, for $f(U,V) = g(V-U, V+U)$, half-Fourier transform can be introduced as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{f}(W,V) &= (2\pi)^{-M/2}\int d^M U \exp(iW_C U^C) f(U,V)\,,\\ f(U,V) &= (2\pi)^{-M/2}\int d^M W \exp(-iW_C U^C)\widetilde{f}(W,V)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

or, alternatively,

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{f}(W,U) &= (2\pi)^{-M/2}\int d^M V \exp(iW_C V^C) f(U,V)\,,\\ f(U,V) &= (2\pi)^{-M/2}\int d^M W \exp(-iW_C V^C)\ddot{f}(W,U)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

Note that, for functions of definite symmetry $G(U,V) = (-1)^{\pi}G(V,U)$,

$$\widetilde{G}(W,V) = (-1)^{\pi}\ddot{G}(W,V)\,. \tag{6.25}$$

Direct substitution of (6.23) into (6.10) gives

$$(\widetilde{g \triangleleft g'})^{-\,mn}_{\ \ ab}(W,\,V) = 2^{1+7M/2}\pi^{M/2}\delta_{kj}(\widetilde{g}^{m,\,k}_{a-} \star \widetilde{g}'^{j,\,n}_{+b})(W,\,V)\,, \tag{6.26}$$

where

$$F \star G\,(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2M}} \int ds\,dt\,du\,dv\,\exp i\left(u_C\,v^C - t_C\,s^C\right)\,F(x+t,y+v)G(x+u,y+s)\,, \tag{6.27}$$

which is equivalent to the star product (5.24). In these terms, the supertrace (6.13) reads as

$$tr_{\triangleright}(g \triangleleft f) = (-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m}2^{1+3M}\pi^M\delta_{jk}\delta_{mn}(\widetilde{g}^{m,\,k}_{--} \star \widetilde{g}'^{j,\,n}_{++})(0,0)\,. \tag{6.28}$$

6.3 Multiple product of bilinear currents

6.3.1 Butterfly algebra

For an ordered set of distributions (6.1) $g_1(W), ..., g_n(W), ...$ obeying (6.2) and (6.4), introduce the following *butterfly* product

$$g_i \bowtie g_j = \begin{cases} g_i \triangleleft g_j & \text{if } i < j\,, \\ g_i \triangleright g_j & \text{if } i > j\,, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = j\,. \end{cases} \tag{6.29}$$

Defining inductively,

$$g_{j_1,\dots,j_k} := g_{j_1} \bowtie \dots \bowtie g_{j_k} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (g_{j_1} \bowtie \dots \bowtie g_{j_{k-1}}) \triangleleft g_{j_k} & \text{if } j_{k-1} < j_k\,, \\ (g_{j_1} \bowtie \dots \bowtie g_{j_{k-1}}) \triangleright g_{j_k} & \text{if } j_{k-1} > j_k\,, \\ 0 & \text{if } j_{k-1} = j_k\,. \end{array} \right\}\,, \tag{6.30}$$

we set

$$g_{j_1,\dots,j_k} \bowtie g_{i_1,\dots,i_m} = \begin{cases} g_{j_1,\dots,j_k} \triangleleft g_{i_1,\dots,i_m} & \text{if } j_k < i_1\,, \\ g_{j_1,\dots,j_k} \triangleright g_{i_1,\dots,i_m} & \text{if } j_k > i_1\,, \\ 0 & \text{if } j_k = i_1\,. \end{cases} \tag{6.31}$$

By virtue of mutual associativity (6.14) of $\triangleleft$ and $\triangleright$, butterfly product $\bowtie$ is associative with

$$g_{j_1,\dots,j_k} \bowtie g_{i_1,\dots,i_m} = g_{j_1,\dots,j_k,i_1,\dots,i_m}\,. \tag{6.32}$$

Let butterfly algebra $A_\bowtie$ be the associative algebra of $g_{j_1,\dots,j_k}$ with the product (6.31). $A_\bowtie$ possesses a trace

$$tr_{\bowtie}\left(g_{j_1,\dots,j_k}\right) = \begin{cases} tr_{\triangleright}\left(g_{j_1,\dots,j_k}\right) & \text{if } j_1 < j_k\,, \\ tr_{\triangleleft}\left(g_{j_1,\dots,j_k}\right) & \text{if } j_1 > j_k\,, \\ 0 & \text{if } j_1 = j_k \quad \text{or } k < 2 \end{cases} \tag{6.33}$$

with $tr_\triangleright$, $tr_\triangleleft$ (6.13). Indeed, by virtue of (6.31) along with (6.17)

$$tr_{\bowtie}\left(g_{j_1,\dots,j_k} \bowtie g_{j_{k+1}}\right) = tr_{\bowtie}\left(g_{j_{k+1}} \bowtie g_{j_1,\dots,j_k}\right)\,. \tag{6.34}$$

A useful consequence of (6.33) and (6.34) is that, for $j_m = \max(j_1, ..., j_k)$,

$$tr_{\bowtie}\left(g_{j_1,...,j_k}\right) = tr_{\triangleright}\left(g_{j_{m+1},...j_k,j_1...j_{m-1}} \triangleleft g_{j_m}\right) \, . \tag{6.35}$$

With these notation, (6.7), (6.22) can be rewritten as, respectively,

$$\mathcal{I}^2_{g_1}\mathcal{I}^2_{g_2} = \sum_{\mathcal{S}_2}\left\{\frac{1}{2!} \ :\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{i_1}}\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{i_2}}\ : + : \left(\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{i_1,i_2}} + \frac{1}{2}tr_{\bowtie}\left(g_{i_1,i_2}\right)\mathcal{I}^0\right) \ :\right\} \, , \tag{6.36}$$

i_1, i_2 is any permutation of $1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}^2_{g_1}\mathcal{I}^2_{g_2}\mathcal{I}^2_{g_3} = \sum_{\mathcal{S}_3} \quad & \left\{\frac{1}{3!} \ :\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{i_1}}\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{i_2}}\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{i_3}}\ : + : \left(\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{i_1,i_2}} + \frac{1}{2}tr_{\bowtie}\left(g_{i_1,i_2}\right)\mathcal{I}^0\right)\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{i_3}} \ : \right. \\ & + \ \left. : \left(\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{i_1,i_2,i_3}} + \frac{1}{3}tr_{\bowtie}\left(g_{i_1,i_2,i_3}\right)\mathcal{I}^0\right) \ :\right\} \, ,\end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

where i_1, i_2, i_3 is any permutation of $1, 2, 3$.

6.3.2 Butterfly formulae for n-product and n-point functions

Consider a distribution

$$\mathcal{G}(\mu) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu^j g_j \, , \tag{6.38}$$

where μ^j are free parameters (it is useful to assume that at most a finite number of μ^j are nonzero). Let

$$\mathcal{G}_k = \underbrace{\mathcal{G}\bowtie \ldots \bowtie\mathcal{G}}_{k}, \qquad \mathcal{G}_0 = Id_{\bowtie} \tag{6.39}$$

and

$$E(\mathcal{G}(\mu)) = \exp_{\times}\left(\mathcal{G}(\mu)\bowtie\left(Id_{\bowtie} - \mathcal{G}(\mu)\right)^{-1}_{\bowtie} + tr_{\bowtie}\left(ln_{\bowtie}\left(Id_{\bowtie} - \mathcal{G}(\mu)\right)\right)\right) , \tag{6.40}$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}(\mu)\bowtie\left(Id_{\bowtie} - \mathcal{G}(\mu)\right)^{-1}_{\bowtie} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathcal{G}_k} \, , \qquad ln_{\bowtie}\left(Id_{\bowtie} - \mathcal{G}(\mu)\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k}\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathcal{G}_k} \tag{6.41}$$

and

$$\exp_{\times}(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\underbrace{A\times ... \times A}_{n}, \qquad \underbrace{A\times ... \times A}_{0} = Id \tag{6.42}$$

with respect to commutative product × which encodes the normal ordering, *i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{I}^{2n}_{g}\times\mathcal{I}^{2m}_{f} \sim : \mathcal{I}^{2n}_{g}\mathcal{I}^{2m}_{f} : \, . \tag{6.43}$$

Since the latter product is associative and commutative it is sometimes convenient to skip the sign × identifying it with "usual" product. In this notation, formula (6.40) takes the form

$$E(\mathcal{G}(\mu)) = det_{\bowtie}\left|Id_{\bowtie} - \mathcal{G}(\mu)\right| \exp(\mathcal{G}(\mu)\bowtie\left(Id_{\bowtie} - \mathcal{G}(\mu)\right)^{-1}_{\bowtie}) \, , \tag{6.44}$$

where

$$det_{\bowtie}\left|Id_{\bowtie}-\mathcal{G}(\mu)\right| = \exp_{\times} tr_{\bowtie}(ln_{\bowtie}(Id_{\bowtie}-\mathcal{G}(\mu)))\,. \tag{6.45}$$

Remarkably, $E(\mathcal{G}(\mu))$ (6.44) gives the generating function for multiple products of $\mathcal{I}^2_g$ via

$$\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{j_1}}\dots\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{j_k}} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu^{j_1}}\dots\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu^{j_k}}E(\mathcal{G}(\mu))\right)\Big|_{\mu=0} \quad \text{for} \quad j_1<\dots<j_k. \tag{6.46}$$

By (6.40), the coefficient in front of the central element in the multiple product of bilinear currents $\mathcal{I}^2_{g_1}\dots\mathcal{I}^2_{g_n}$ is

$$\Phi(g_1,\dots g_n) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu^1}\dots\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu^n}det_{\bowtie}\left|Id_{\bowtie}-\mathcal{G}(\mu)\right|\right)_{\mu=0}\,. \tag{6.47}$$

This formula gives the generating function for all n-point functions

$$\Phi(g_1,\dots g_n) = \langle\mathcal{I}^2(g_1)\dots\mathcal{I}^2(g_n)\rangle\,. \tag{6.48}$$

We call formulae (6.40), (6.44) and (6.47) *butterfly formulae.*

Note that, as expected for Wightman functions, the result is not symmetric with respect to permutations of indices $1,2,\dots n$ because butterfly product $\bowtie$ is sensitive to the ordering.

Though formula (6.40) can be derived from the operator algebra of [17], to keep the presentation self-contained we give direct proof which is quite simple. Indeed, from (6.21) it follows that

$$\exp_{\times}(\mathcal{I}^2_g)\,\mathcal{I}^2_f = \exp_{\times}(\mathcal{I}^2_g)\times\left(\mathcal{I}^2_f+\mathcal{I}^2_{f\,\triangleright\, g+g\,\triangleleft\, f}+\mathcal{I}^2_{g\,\triangleleft\, f\,\triangleright\, g}+tr_{\triangleright}(g\,\triangleleft\, f)\mathcal{I}^0\right)\,. \tag{6.49}$$

Substitution of $\mathcal{I}^2_g=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{G}_k$ into (6.49) gives for the operator product

$$\begin{aligned}E(\mathcal{G})\mathcal{I}^2_f &= E(\mathcal{G})\times\left(\mathcal{I}^2_f+\mathcal{I}^2_{f\,\triangleright\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{G}_k+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{G}_k\,\triangleleft\, f}+\mathcal{I}^2_{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{G}_k\,\triangleleft\, f\,\triangleright\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{G}_k}+tr_{\triangleright}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{G}_k\,\triangleleft\, f)\mathcal{I}^0\right)\\ &= E(\mathcal{G})\times\left(\mathcal{I}^2_f+tr_{\triangleright}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{G}_k\,\triangleleft\, f)\mathcal{I}^0+\sum_{n\geq1}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathcal{G}_k\,\triangleleft\, f\,\triangleright\,\mathcal{G}_{n-k}}\right)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.50}$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (6.34), direct differentiation gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu^i}E(\mathcal{G}(\mu))\Big|_{\mu^i=0} = E(\mathcal{G}(\mu))\times\left(\mathcal{I}^2_{g_i}+\sum_{k>1}tr_{\triangleright}(\mathcal{G}_k(\mu)\bowtie g_i)\mathcal{I}^0+\sum_{n\geq1}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathcal{G}_k(\mu)\bowtie g_i\bowtie\mathcal{G}_{n-k}(\mu)}\right)\Big|_{\mu^i=0}\,. \tag{6.51}$$

Let i_{max} be the maximal label among nonzero μ^j. Comparison of (6.50) with $f=g_{i_{max}}$ and (6.51) at $i=i_{max}$ gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu^{i_{max}}}E(\mathcal{G}(\mu))|_{\mu^{i_{max}}=0} = E(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\mu))\mathcal{I}^2_{g_{i_{max}}}\,, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\mu)=\mathcal{G}(\mu)\big|_{\mu^{i_{max}}=0}\,, \tag{6.52}$$

because in this case $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^k_{\bowtie} \triangleleft f \triangleright \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{n-k}_{\bowtie} = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^k_{\bowtie} \bowtie f \bowtie \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{n-k}_{\bowtie}$ by virtue of (6.29). Since

$$\partial_{\mu_i} E(\mathcal{G}(\mu))|_{\mu=0} = \mathcal{I}^2_{g_i}\,, \tag{6.53}$$

this completes the proof of (6.46)

Butterfly formulae encode information on all n-point functions and operator algebra in an amazingly compact and efficient way as demonstrated in the sequel by practical computations. Their concise and elegant form suggests that Butterfly formulae should have some simple origin in a more general setup which is believed to result from a multiparticle HS theory. Appearance of the butterfly determinant indicates that it may admit some Gaussian (one-loop) representation. It is also worth to mention that the exponential in (6.44) contains a sum of all butterfly powers describing all "connected" operator products.

7 Space-time current operator algebra

Once current operator algebra in the twistor space is known, it is elementary to reconstruct the space-time operator algebra. Indeed, unfolded form of the current equation (2.16) uniquely determines dependence on space-time coordinates in terms of twistor coordinates by virtue of (2.20) or (3.16). It is important that the latter formulae hold for general conserved currents, *i.e.,* not necessarily built from free fields, because the unfolded current equation holds independently of the origin of conformal conserved currents.

More generally, this implies that, in the framework of unfolded formulation, an operator algebra is fully determined by the associative operator algebra of functions of generalized twistor variables resulting from the unfolded formulation of the system under consideration. Analysis and classification of twistor algebras is much simpler than of space-time operator algebras. As shown in the previous section, in the case of free massless fields, this algebra is based on the star-product HS algebra. More precisely, twistor operator algebra is universal enveloping algebra of the HS algebra (for more detail see [17]). This simple observation clarifies in particular the difference between $2d$ and $3d$ conformal theories pointed out in [22, 23]: $3d$ conformal HS algebras admit no deformation, while $2d$ conformal HS algebras belong to a parametric family of algebras described in particular in [40] (and references therein).

7.1 Space-time dependence from twistors

Space-time operator algebra is the algebra of currents $\mathrm{J}_\gamma(Y|X)$ (4.29) at $Y_1 = Y_2 = 0$ with parameters $\gamma = \gamma^{mn}_{ab}(\mathcal{A})$, that depend on $\mathcal{A}_\pm$ (2.27) and satisfy (4.33)

$$\mathrm{J}_\gamma(X) = \mathrm{J}_\gamma(Y_1, Y_2|X)\Big|_{Y_{1,2}=0}\,. \tag{7.1}$$

Practically, to compute operator algebra for all conformal currents and their descendants, it suffices to consider parameters $\gamma^{mn}_{ab}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X), \mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X))$ that only depend on the differential operators $\mathcal{A}_{\pm C}$ (2.27) which are Y-derivatives. However, in most of our consideration, we keep the dependence on the X-dependent operators $\mathcal{A}^C_\pm$ since this does not affect analysis too much.

A useful trick is to interpret $\mathrm{J}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X_1,X_2)$ (4.27), that coincides with $\mathrm{J}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X)$ at $X_1=X_2=X$, as the current $\mathcal{I}^2_g$ (6.1) with a function $g(W)=\mathfrak{g}(Y,X;\gamma|W)$ that depends on Y, X and γ as parameters

$$\mathrm{J}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X_1,X_2)=\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathfrak{g}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2;\gamma)}\,. \tag{7.2}$$

To find $\mathfrak{g}(Y,X;\gamma)$ note that, due to evolution formula (3.16) along with (4.28),

$$\mathcal{T}^{a\,b}_{j\,k}(Y_1,Y_2|X_1,X_2)=-\int dW_1dW_2\mathcal{D}_a(W_1-Y_1|-X_1)\mathcal{D}_b(W_2-Y_2|-X_2)\mathcal{T}^{ab}_{j\,k}(W_1,W_2|0). \tag{7.3}$$

Hence $\mathrm{J}^{a\,b}_\gamma$ (4.27) acquires the form

$$\mathrm{J}^{a\,b}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X_1,X_2)=-(\kappa^a_1)^{\mathfrak{p}_j}(\kappa^b_2)^{\mathfrak{p}_k}\gamma^{j,k}_{a\,b}\Big(\mathcal{A}_+\left(Y_1|X_1\right),\mathcal{A}_-\left(Y_2|X_2\right)\Big) \tag{7.4}$$
$$\int dW_1dW_2\;\mathcal{D}_a(W_1-\kappa^a_1Y_1|-X_1)\;\mathcal{D}_b(W_2-\kappa^b_2Y_2|-X_2)\;\mathcal{T}^{ab}_{j\,k}(W_1,W_2|0)$$

with κ^a_j (4.13). Symmetrization of this expression in accordance with (6.2) gives

$$\mathfrak{g}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2;\gamma|W_1,W_2)=\gamma^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2))\mathfrak{G}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2)\,, \tag{7.5}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{G}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2)=-\frac{1}{2}(1+\mu)\big(\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2)\big) \tag{7.6}$$

with μ (6.3),

$$\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2)=(\kappa^a_1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m}(\kappa^b_2)^{\mathfrak{p}_n}\mathcal{D}^m_a(W_1-\kappa^a_1Y_1|-X_1)\mathcal{D}^n_b(W_2-\kappa^b_2Y_2|-X_2) \tag{7.7}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}^m_a(W-Y|X)=\frac{1}{2}\big(\mathcal{D}_a(W-Y|X)+(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m}\mathcal{D}_a(W+Y|X)\big)\,. \tag{7.8}$$

As a result, (7.2) takes the form

$$\mathrm{J}^{a\,b}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X_1,X_2)=\int d^{2M}W\quad\mathfrak{g}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2;\gamma|W_1,W_2)\,\mathcal{T}^{ab}_{mn}(W_1,\,W_2|0) \tag{7.9}$$

(no summation over a,b) where $\mathfrak{g}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}$ (7.5) obeys (6.2).

Let us extend the action of ρ (2.9), (4.18) to $\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2;X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2))$ (7.7) as

$$\rho(\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2))=i^{\mathfrak{p}_{m\,n}}\mathfrak{D}^{n,m}_{b\,a}(iY_2,iY_1,X_2,X_1|W_1,W_2)\,. \tag{7.10}$$

Evidently, $\rho^2(\mathfrak{D}^{n,m}_{b\,a})=\mathfrak{D}^{n,m}_{b\,a}$. By virtue of (4.15), (6.3), (7.8) and (7.7)

$$(\kappa^a_1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m}\mathcal{D}^m_a(W-\kappa^a_1Y|-X)=(i)^{\mathfrak{p}_m}(\kappa^a_2)^{\mathfrak{p}_m}\mathcal{D}^m_a(W-\kappa^a_2(iY)|-X)\,, \tag{7.11}$$

hence

$$\mu(\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2))=\rho(\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2)). \tag{7.12}$$

Therefore, by virtue of (7.12), (7.10) and (7.6), Eq. (7.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{g}_{a\,b}^{m\,n}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2;\gamma|W_1,W_2) = \\ = \;& -\frac{1}{2}\Big\{\Big(\gamma^{+}{}^{n,m}_{b\,a}+\gamma^{-}{}^{n,m}_{b\,a}\Big)(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2))\;\;\mathfrak{D}_{a\,b}^{m\,n}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2) \\ + \;& \Big(\gamma^{+}{}^{n,m}_{b\,a}-\gamma^{-}{}^{n,m}_{b\,a}\Big)(\mathcal{A}_+(iY_2|X_1),\mathcal{A}_-(iY_1|X_2))\;\;\mathfrak{D}_{b\,a}^{n,m}(iY_2,iY_1,X_2,X_1|W_1,W_2)\Big\}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{7.13}$$

with $\gamma^{\pm}$ (4.32). An important consequence of this relation is that the terms with γ^- do not contribute to the space-time currents $\mathrm{J}_{\gamma}^{a\,b}(0,0|X,X)$. Indeed, the first term on the *r.h.s.* of (7.13) results from the second via the substitution $Y \Rightarrow iY$ along with the exchange $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$.

7.2 A-currents

Due to (7.2), we have

$$\mathrm{J}_{\gamma}(Y_1,Y_2|X_1,X_2)\mathrm{J}_{\gamma'}(Y_1',Y_2'|X_1',X_2') = \mathcal{I}^2_{\mathfrak{g}(Y,X;\gamma)}\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathfrak{g}(Y',X';\gamma')}\,. \tag{7.14}$$

Substitution of $\mathfrak{g}(Y,X;\gamma|W)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(Y',X';\gamma'W')$ (7.5) into (6.10) gives by virtue of (7.6), (7.3)

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathfrak{g}(Y,X;\gamma) \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}(Y',X';\gamma'))_{ab}^{mn}(W_1,W_2) = \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\tau^{dc}\,\delta_{kj}\int d^Mp\gamma_{a\,c}^{m\,k}\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1)\,,\,\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2)\big)\;\;\gamma'^{j\,n}_{d\,b}\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1'|X_1')\,,\,\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2'|X_2')\big) \\ & (1+\mu)\big(\mathfrak{D}_{a\,c}^{m\,k}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,p)\big)(1+\mu)\big(\mathfrak{D}_{d\,b}^{j\,n}(Y_1',Y_2',X_1',X_2'|p\,,W_2)\big)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

Eq. (3.18) gives

$$\tau^{dc}\,\delta_{kj}\int d^Mp\mathcal{D}_c^k(p-Y|-X)\mathcal{D}_d^j(p-Y'|-X') = -i\tau^{dc}\,\delta_{kj}\mathcal{D}_-^k(Y-Y'|-X+X') \tag{7.16}$$

(no summation over d and c). As a result, with the help of (7.7), (7.11) and (4.13), Eq. (7.15) acquires the form

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathfrak{g}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2;\gamma) \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}(Y_1',Y_2',X_1',X_2';\gamma'))_{ab}^{mn}(W_1,W_2) = \\ & \frac{i}{2}\tau^{dc}\,\delta_{kj}\;\;\Big(\gamma_{a\,c}^{m\,k}\;\;\gamma'^{j\,n}_{d\,b}\;\mathcal{D}_-^k(Y_2-Y_1'|-X_2+X_1')\mathfrak{D}_{a\,b}^{m\,n}(Y_1,Y_2';X_1,X_2'|W_1,W_2) \\ & \quad +i^{\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)}\gamma_{c\,a}^{k\,m}\;\;\gamma'^{j\,n}_{d\,b}\;\mathcal{D}_-^k(iY_1-Y_1'|-X_1+X_1')\mathfrak{D}_{a\,b}^{m\,n}(iY_2,Y_2',X_2,X_2'|W_1,W_2) \\ & \quad +i^{\mathfrak{p}(\gamma')}\gamma_{a\,c}^{m\,k}\;\;\gamma'^{n\,j}_{b\,d}\;\mathcal{D}_-^k(Y_2-iY_2'|-X_2+X_2')\mathfrak{D}_{a\,b}^{m\,n}(Y_1,iY_1',X_1,X_1'|W_1,W_2) \\ & \quad +i^{\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)+\mathfrak{p}(\gamma')}\gamma_{c\,a}^{k\,m}\;\;\gamma'^{n\,j}_{b\,d}\;\mathcal{D}_-^k(iY_1-iY_2'|-X_1+X_2')\mathfrak{D}_{a\,b}^{m\,n}(iY_2,iY_1',X_2,X_1'|W_1,W_2)\Big)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

where arguments of $\gamma\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1)\,,\,\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2)\big)$ and $\gamma'\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1'|X_1')\,,\,\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2'|X_2')\big)$ are skipped for simplicity.

Analogously, substitution of $\mathfrak{g}(Y,X;\gamma|W)$ and $\mathfrak{g}(Y',X';\gamma'|W')$ into (6.11) and (6.13) gives

$$\begin{gathered}
(\mathfrak{g}(Y'_1,Y'_2,X'_1,X'_2;\gamma') \triangleright \mathfrak{g}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2;\gamma))^{mn}_{ab}(W_1,W_2) = \\
\frac{i}{2}\tau^{dc}\,\delta_{kj}\Big(\gamma'^{\,m\,j}_{\,a\,d}\;\gamma^{k\,n}_{c\,b}\;\mathcal{D}^k_+(iY'_2-iY_1)|-X'_2+X_1)\mathfrak{D}^{mn}_{ab}(Y'_1,Y_2,X'_1,X_2|W_1,W_2) \\
+i^{\mathfrak{p}(\gamma')}\gamma'^{\,j\,m}_{\,d\,a}\;\gamma^{k\,n}_{c\,b}\;\mathcal{D}^k_+(i(iY'_1-Y_1)|-X'_1+X_1)\mathfrak{D}^{mn}_{ab}(iY'_2,Y_2,X'_2,X_2|W_1,W_2) \\
+i^{\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)}\gamma'^{\,m\,j}_{\,a\,d}\;\gamma^{n\,k}_{b\,c}\;\mathcal{D}^k_+(i(Y'_2-iY_2)|-X'_2+X_2)\mathfrak{D}^{mn}_{ab}(Y'_1,iY_1,X'_1,X_1|W_1,W_2) \\
+i^{\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)+\mathfrak{p}(\gamma')}\gamma'^{\,j\,m}_{\,d\,a}\;\gamma^{n\,k}_{b\,c}\;\mathcal{D}^k_+(Y'_1-Y_2)|-X'_1+X_2)\mathfrak{D}^{mn}_{ab}(iY'_2,iY_1,X'_2,X_1|W_1,W_2)\Big)\,,
\end{gathered} \tag{7.18}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
tr_{\triangleright}(\mathfrak{g}(Y,X;\gamma) \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}(Y',X';\gamma')) = \\
-\delta_{kj}\quad\delta_{mn}\Big((-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m}\gamma^{m\,k}_{--}\;\;\gamma'^{\,j\,n}_{\,++}\;\mathcal{D}^k_-(Y_2-Y'_1|-X_2+X'_1)\mathcal{D}^m_-(iY'_2-iY_1|-X_1+X'_2) \\
+\,i^{\mathfrak{p}(\gamma)}\gamma^{k\,m}_{--}\;\gamma'^{\,j\,n}_{\,++}\;\mathcal{D}^k_-(iY_1-Y'_1|-X_1+X'_1)\mathcal{D}^m_-(iY'_2-Y_2|-X_2+X'_2)\Big)\,.
\end{gathered} \tag{7.19}$$

By virtue of (6.7), Eqs. (7.18), (7.19) determine the operator product $\mathrm{J}_\gamma(Y_1,Y_2|X_1,X_2)\mathrm{J}_{\gamma'}(Y'_1,Y'_2|X'_1,X'_2)$ (7.14).

7.3 F-currents

Since F-currents $\mathcal{J}_\eta(Y_1,Y_2|X)$ (4.27) are those with $\gamma_{ab}=\eta\;\forall a,b=+,-$, Eqs. (4.30), (7.9) give

$$\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{J}_\eta(Y_1,Y_2|X_1,X_2) = \eta^{m\,n}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2)) \\
\times\int d^{2M}W\quad\mathfrak{G}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2)\,\mathcal{T}^{ab}_{m\,n}(W_1,\,W_2|0)\,.
\end{gathered} \tag{7.20}$$

In this case, Eqs. (7.17), (7.18) and (7.19) give

$$\begin{gathered}
(\mathfrak{g}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|\eta) \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}(Y'_1,Y'_2,X'_1,X'_2|\eta'))^{mn}_{ab}(W_1,W_2) = \\
\frac{i}{2}\delta_{kj}\Big(\eta^{m\,k}\;\;\eta'^{\,j\,n}\;\mathcal{D}^k_-(Y_2-Y'_1|-X_2+X'_1)\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y'_2,X_1,X'_2|W_1,W_2) \\
+i^{\mathfrak{p}(\eta)}\eta^{k\,m}\;\eta'^{\,j\,n}\;\mathcal{D}^k_-(iY_1-Y'_1|-X_1+X'_1)\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(iY_2,Y'_2,X_2,X'_2|W_1,W_2) \\
+i^{\mathfrak{p}(\eta')}\eta^{m\,k}\;\;\eta'^{\,n\,j}\;\mathcal{D}^k_-(Y_2-iY'_2|-X_2+X'_2)\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,iY'_1;X_1,X'_1|W_1,W_2) \\
+(i)^{\mathfrak{p}(\eta)+\mathfrak{p}(\eta')}\eta^{k\,m}\;\;\eta'^{\,n\,j}\;\mathcal{D}^k_-(iY_1-iY'_2|-X_1+X'_2)\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(iY_2,iY'_1;X_2,X'_1|W_1,W_2)\Big)\,,
\end{gathered} \tag{7.21}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
(\mathfrak{g}(Y'_1,Y'_2,X'_1,X'_2|\eta') \triangleright \mathfrak{g}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|\eta))^{mn}_{ab}(W_1,W_2) = \\
\frac{i}{2}\,\delta_{kj}\Big(\eta'^{\,m\,j}\;\eta^{k\,n}\;\;\mathcal{D}^k_+(iY'_2-iY_1)|-X'_2+X_1)\mathfrak{D}^{mn}_{ab}(Y'_1,Y_2;X'_1,X_2|W_1,W_2) \\
+(i)^{\mathfrak{p}(\eta')}\eta'^{\,j\,m}\;\eta^{k\,n}\;\mathcal{D}^k_+(i(iY'_1-Y_1)|-X'_1+X_1)\mathfrak{D}^{mn}_{ab}(iY'_2,Y_2;X'_2,X_2|W_1,W_2) \\
+(i)^{\mathfrak{p}(\eta)}\eta'^{\,m\,j}\;\eta^{n\,k}\;\;\mathcal{D}^k_+(i(Y'_2-iY_2)|-X'_2+X_2)\mathfrak{D}^{mn}_{ab}(Y'_1,iY_1;X'_1,X_1|W_1,W_2) \\
+(i)^{\mathfrak{p}(\eta)+\mathfrak{p}(\eta')}\eta'^{\,j\,m}\;\eta^{n\,k}\;\;\mathcal{D}^k_+(Y'_1-Y_2)|-X'_1+X_2)\mathfrak{D}^{mn}_{ab}(iY'_2,iY_1;X'_2,X_1|W_1,W_2)\Big)\,,
\end{gathered} \tag{7.22}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& tr_{\triangleright}(\mathfrak{g}(Y,X;\gamma) \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}(Y',X';\gamma')) = \qquad (7.23)\\
& -\delta_{kj} \quad \delta_{mn}\Big((-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m}\eta^{m\,k}\,\eta'^{j\,n}\,\mathcal{D}^k_-(Y_2-Y'_1|-X_2+X'_1)\mathcal{D}^m_-(iY'_2-iY_1|-X_1+X'_2)\\
& +i^{\mathfrak{p}(\eta)}\eta^{k\,m}\,\eta'^{j\,n}\,\mathcal{D}^k_-(iY_1-Y'_1|-X_1+X'_1)\mathcal{D}^m_-(iY'_2-Y_2|-X_2+X'_2)\Big)\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta=\eta\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1)\,,\,\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2)\big)$ and $\eta'=\eta'\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y'_1|X'_1)\,,\,\mathcal{A}_-(Y'_2|X'_2)\big)$.

By virtue of (6.7), Eqs. (7.21)-(7.23) determine operator product of F-currents $\mathcal{J}_\eta(Y_1,Y_2|X_1,X_2)$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\eta'}(Y'_1,Y'_2|X'_1,X'_2)$.

7.3.1 Space-time F-currents

Space-time F-currents are $\mathcal{J}_\eta(Y|X)$ evaluated at $Y=0$. As explained in Section 7.1, the ρ—odd part η^- (4.26) does not contribute to $\mathcal{J}_\eta(0,0|X)$ (7.13). Hence, space-time F-currents are represented by $\mathcal{I}^2_g$ (6.1) with $g=g_{\{0,X;\eta^+\}}$.

Naively, this conclusion disagrees with the results of Section 5, where it was shown that, for $M=2$, nonzero contribution to the charges gives η^- (4.26), satisfying $\rho(\eta^-)=-\eta^-$. However, one should take into account that the dX-dependent part of the closed form (5.2) contains derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial U^B}$ equivalent to $\mathcal{B}_A$. Hence, the parameters of charges and currents differ by M factors of $\mathcal{B}_A$. As a result, their ρ-parity differs by a factor of $(-1)^{\frac{M}{2}}$, which is -1 at $M=2$. Note that the symmetry properties of parameters match for all M. However, in the general case, the correspondence is less trivial because, as demonstrated in [26], the physical parameters contribute to the closed form (5.2) with additional singular factors that support integration over spinor variables and compensate the mismatch in ρ-parity. For example, in the $4d$ case of $M=4$, the symmetry parameter contributes to the physical charge with the singular factor bilinear in twistor variables, which just brings in another factor of -1. In the twistor sector, necessary sign factors result from the appropriate choice of integration contours in the twistor space.

From (7.9) and (7.13) at $\gamma_{ab}=\eta$ it follows that

$$
\mathcal{J}_\eta(X_1,X_2)=\int d^{2M}W\sum_{ab}\mathfrak{g}^0(X_1,X_2;\eta|W_1,W_2)^{jk}_{ab}\mathcal{T}^{ab}_{j\,k}(W_1,W_2|0)\,, \qquad (7.24)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{g}^{0\,m\,n}_{\;a\,b}(X_1,X_2;\eta|W_1,W_2) = \qquad (7.25)\\
& -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\eta^{m\,n}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2))(\mathfrak{D}^{m\,n}_{a\,b}(Y_1,Y_2,X_1,X_2|W_1,W_2))+X_1\Leftrightarrow X_2\Big)\Big|_{Y=0}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us introduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\eta \overset{\widetilde{X}_2,\widetilde{X'}_1}{\blacktriangleleft} \eta')^{m\,n}\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1)\,,\,\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X'_2)\big) = \qquad (7.26)\\
& \delta_{kj}\eta^{m\,k}\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1),\mathcal{A}_-(\widetilde{Y}_1|\widetilde{X}_2)\big)\;\eta'^{j\,n}\big(\mathcal{A}_+(\widetilde{Y}_2|\widetilde{X}'_1),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X'_2)\big)\,\mathcal{D}^k_-(\widetilde{Y}_1-\widetilde{Y}_2|-\widetilde{X}_2+\widetilde{X}'_1)\big|_{\widetilde{Y}=0}\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\eta \overset{\widetilde{X}_2,\widetilde{X}'_1}{\blacktriangleright} \eta')^{m\,n}\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1)\,,\,\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X'_2)\big) = \qquad (7.27)\\
&\delta_{kj}\eta^{m\,k}\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1),\mathcal{A}_-(\widetilde{Y}_1|\widetilde{X}_2)\big)\;\;\eta'^{j\,n}\big(\mathcal{A}_+(\widetilde{Y}_2|\widetilde{X}'_1),\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X'_2)\big)\,\mathcal{D}^k_+(i\widetilde{Y}_1 - i\widetilde{Y}_2| - \widetilde{X}_2 + \widetilde{X}'_1)\big|_{\widetilde{Y}=0}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\overset{X_2,X'_1}{\blacktriangleleft}$ (7.26) $\overset{X_2,X'_1}{\blacktriangleright}$ (7.27) differ only by the labels of $\mathcal{D}_\pm$. Using (7.13) and (3.20), at $g = \mathfrak{g}^0(X_1, X_2;\eta)$, (7.21) and (7.22) take the form

$$
\mathfrak{g}^0(X_1,X_2;\eta)\triangleleft\mathfrak{g}^0(X'_1,X'_2;\eta'))(W) = \frac{i}{2}\Big\{\Big((\mathfrak{g}^0(X_1,X'_2;(\eta \overset{X_2,X'_1}{\blacktriangleleft} \eta')|W)){+}(X_1\Leftrightarrow X_2)\Big){+}(X'_1\Leftrightarrow X'_2)\Big\}\,,
$$

$$
\mathfrak{g}^0(X_1,X_2;\eta)\triangleright\mathfrak{g}^0(X'_1,X'_2;\eta'))(W) = \frac{i}{2}\Big\{\Big((\mathfrak{g}^0(X_1,X'_2;(\eta \overset{X_2,X'_1}{\blacktriangleright} \eta')|W)){+}(X_1\Leftrightarrow X_2)\Big){+}(X'_1\Leftrightarrow X'_2)\Big\}\,.
$$

Eq. (7.23) at $Y = 0$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&tr_{\triangleright}(\mathfrak{g}^0(X_1,X_2;\eta)\,\triangleleft\,\mathfrak{g}^0(X'_1,X'_2;\eta')) = \qquad (7.28)\\
&-(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m}\delta_{kj}\,\delta_{mn}\Big\{\eta^{m\,k}\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y_1|X_1)\,,\,\mathcal{A}_-(Y_2|X_2)\big)\;\;\eta'^{j\,n}\big(\mathcal{A}_+(Y'_1|X'_1)\,,\,\mathcal{A}_-(Y'_2|X'_2)\big)\\
&\mathcal{D}^k_-(Y_2 - Y'_1| - X_2 + X'_1)\mathcal{D}^m_-(iY_1 - iY'_2| - X_1 + X'_2) + X_1 \Leftrightarrow X_2\Big\}\Big|_{Y=Y'=0}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution of (7.26), (7.27), (7.28) into (6.7) determines operator algebra of F-currents.

7.3.2 $X-$independent parameters

For the parameters η^{mn}, that only depend on the differential operators $\mathcal{A}_{\pm C}$ (2.27), *i.e.*, $\eta^{mn} = \eta^{mn}\big(\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_1},\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_2}\big)$, expressions for $\eta \overset{A,B}{\blacktriangleleft} \eta'$ and $\eta \overset{A,B}{\blacktriangleright} \eta'$ acquire particularly simple form. Indeed, it is easy to see that by virtue of (3.19) Eqs. (7.26) and (7.27) give

$$
(\eta \overset{X_2,X'_1}{\blacktriangleleft} \eta')^{m\,n}\Big(\partial_{Y_1},\partial_{Y_2}\Big) = \delta_{kj}\mathcal{D}_-(-X_2{+}X'_1)\eta^{m\,k}\big(\partial_{Y_1},\partial_{\widetilde{Y_1}}\big)\;\eta'^{j\,n}\big(\partial_{\widetilde{Y_2}},\partial_{Y_2}\big)\;\mathcal{E}^k\big(\widetilde{Y}_1\,,\widetilde{Y}_2\,|{-}X_2{+}X'_1\big)\big|_{\widetilde{Y}=0}\,,
$$

$$
(\eta \overset{X_2,X'_1}{\blacktriangleright} \eta')^{m\,n}\Big(\partial_{Y_1},\partial_{Y_2}\Big) = \delta_{kj}\mathcal{D}_+(-X_2{+}X'_1)\eta^{m\,k}\big(\partial_{Y_1},\partial_{\widetilde{Y_1}}\big)\;\eta'^{j\,n}\big(\partial_{\widetilde{Y_2}},\partial_{Y_2}\big)\;\mathcal{E}^k\big(\widetilde{Y}_1\,,\widetilde{Y}_2\,|{-}X_2{+}X'_1\big)\big|_{\widetilde{Y}=0}\,,
$$

where for zero $\mathfrak{p}_k$

$$
\mathcal{E}^k\big(a\,,b\,|U\big) = \quad \exp\left(-\frac{i}{4}U^{-1}_{AB}(aa+bb)^{AB}\right)\cos\left(\frac{1}{2}U^{-1}_{AB}a^Ab^B\right)\,, \qquad (7.29)
$$

while for $\mathfrak{p}_k = 1$

$$
\mathcal{E}^k\big(a\,,b\,|U\big) = \quad i\exp\left(-\frac{i}{4}U^{-1}_{AB}(aa+bb)^{AB}\right)\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}U^{-1}_{AB}a^Ab^B\right)\,. \qquad (7.30)
$$

8 Correlators of free conformal currents

In accordance with Eqs. (6.46), (7.24) along with (4.30), (4.21), the product of n free conformal currents can be represented as

$$\mathcal{J}_{\eta_1}(X^1)\dots\mathcal{J}_{\eta_n}(X^n) = \mathrm{J}_{\eta_1}(X^1,X^1)\dots\mathrm{J}_{\eta_n}(X^n,X^n) = \mathcal{I}^2_{\mathfrak{g}^0_1}\dots\mathcal{I}^2_{\mathfrak{g}^0_n}\,, \tag{8.1}$$

with $\mathfrak{g}_j = \mathfrak{g}^0(X^j,X^j;\eta_j)$ (7.25) . Formula (6.47) gives

$$<\mathcal{J}_{\eta_1}(X^1)\dots\mathcal{J}_{\eta_n}(X^n)>=\sum_{\mathcal{S}_n}\sum_r\frac{1}{r!}\sum_{\substack{k_1,\dots,k_r\geq 2\\ k_1+\dots+k_r=n}}\frac{1}{k_1\cdots k_r}tr_{\bowtie}\left(\mathfrak{g}^0_{j^1_1,\dots,j^1_{k_1}}\right)\dots tr_{\bowtie}\left(\mathfrak{g}^0_{j^r_1,\dots,j^r_{k_r}}\right), \tag{8.2}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_n$ symmetrizes indices $j^1_1,\dots,j^1_{k_1},\dots,j^r_1,\dots,j^r_{k_r}$ on the *r.h.s.* of (8.2).

8.1 *3d*

Connected n-point functions of free F-currents are

$$<\mathcal{J}_{\eta_1}(X^1)\dots\mathcal{J}_{\eta_n}(X^n)>_{con}=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{\mathcal{S}_n}tr_{\bowtie}\left(\mathfrak{g}^0_{1,\dots,n}\right). \tag{8.3}$$

where summation is over permutations of $(1,\dots,n)$.

Substitution of (7.26), (7.27), (7.28) into (6.33) gives by virtue of (6.31)

$$\begin{aligned} tr_{\bowtie}\left(\mathfrak{g}^0_{j_1,\dots,j_k}\right) = {}&\delta_{q_{j_1}p_{j_2}}\delta_{q_{j_2}p_{j_3}}\dots\delta_{q_{j_{k-1}}p_{j_k}}\delta_{q_{j_k}p_{j_1}}\frac{2^{k-1}(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_{p_{j_k}}}}{\mathcal{R}^{j_1,j_2}_{\bowtie}\dots\mathcal{R}^{j_{k-1},j_k}_{\bowtie}\mathcal{R}^{j_1,j_k}_{\bowtie}}\\ &\eta_{j_1}^{p_{j_1}\,q_{j_1}}(\mathcal{A}(Y^{j_1}|X^{j_1}))\dots\eta_{j_k}^{p_{j_k}\,q_{j_k}}(\mathcal{A}(Y^{j_k}|X^{j_k}))\mathcal{E}^{q_{j_1}}_{j_1,j_2}\dots\mathcal{E}^{q_{j_{k-1}}}_{j_{k-1},j_k}\mathcal{E}^{q_{j_k}}_{j_1,j_k}\Big|_{Y=0}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

where summation over all q_{j_k} and p_{j_k} is assumed with the convention

$$\mathcal{E}^{2m}_{j,i} = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{4}(X^j-X^i)^{-1}_{AB}(Y_1^{i\,A}Y_1^{i\,B}+Y_2^{j\,A}Y_2^{j\,B})\right)\cos\left(\frac{1}{2}(X^j-X^i)^{-1}_{AB}Y_1^{i\,A}Y_2^{j\,B}\right)\,, \tag{8.5}$$

$$\mathcal{E}^{2m+1}_{j,i} = \imath\exp\left(-\frac{i}{4}(X^j-X^i)^{-1}_{AB}(Y_1^{i\,A}Y_1^{i\,B}+Y_2^{j\,A}Y_2^{j\,B})\right)\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(X^j-X^i)^{-1}_{AB}Y_1^{i\,A}Y_2^{j\,B}\right)\,, \tag{8.6}$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{i,j}_{\bowtie} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{M}{2}}}\exp\left(-\mathrm{sign}(i-j)\frac{i\pi I_{X^j-X^i}}{4}\right)\sqrt{|\det(X^j-X^i)|}\,. \tag{8.7}$$

Substitution of (8.4) into (8.2) gives n-functions of free F-currents.

8.2 $4d$

As discussed in [4, 5], usual Minkowski space M^d is a subspace of the matrix space $\mathcal{M}_M$ with appropriate M. Embedding of $4d$ Minkowski space-time into $\mathcal{M}_4$ is most conveniently described in terms of two-component indices α, β and α', β' in place of the four-component indices $A, B \ldots$ with the convention that complex conjugation exchanges unprimed and primed indices $\alpha', \beta' = 1', 2'$. We use notation with $A = (\alpha, \alpha')$ and $Y^A = (y^\alpha, \bar{y}^{\alpha'})$, where $\bar{y}^{\alpha'} = \overline{y^\alpha}$.

In these terms,

$$X^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{\alpha\beta} & X^{\alpha'\beta} \\ X^{\alpha\beta'} & \overline{X}^{\alpha'\beta'} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Y^A = \begin{pmatrix} y^\alpha \\ \bar{y}^{\beta'} \end{pmatrix} \tag{8.8}$$

with $\overline{X^{\alpha\beta'}} = X^{\beta\alpha'}$ and $\overline{X^{\alpha\beta}} = \overline{X}^{\alpha'\beta'}$. For Minkowski coordinates, we will also use notation $x^{\alpha\beta'}$ instead of $X^{\alpha\beta'}$. Two-component indices are raised and lowered according to

$$A^\alpha = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} A_\beta\,, \qquad A_\beta = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} A^\alpha\,, \qquad \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = -\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}\,, \qquad \varepsilon_{12} = 1\,,$$

and analogously for primed indices.

Minkowski time t and space coordinates x^i are

$$X^{\alpha\beta'} = t\mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta'} + x^i \sigma_i^{\alpha\beta'}\,, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3\,, \tag{8.9}$$

where $\mathcal{T}^{\alpha\beta'} = \delta^{\alpha\beta'}$ while $\sigma_i^{\alpha\beta'}$ are hermitian traceless Pauli matrices. As shown in [5], since $4d$ Minkowsky space is identified with

$$X^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^{\alpha'\beta} \\ x^{\alpha\beta'} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.10}$$

inertia index of X^{AB} (8.10) equals to ±4 or 0. As a result, $\mathcal{S}(X) = -\det(x^{\beta\alpha'})$ and Eq. (8.2) reads

$$< \mathcal{J}_{\eta_1}(x^1) \ldots \mathcal{J}_{\eta_n}(x^n) >= \sum_{\mathcal{S}_n} \sum_r \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\substack{k_1,\ldots,k_r \geq 2 \\ k_1+\ldots+k_r = n}} \frac{1}{k_1 \cdots k_r} tr_{\bowtie}\left(\mathfrak{g}^0_{j^1_1,\ldots,j^1_{k_1}}\right) \ldots tr_{\bowtie}\left(\mathfrak{g}^0_{j^r_1,\ldots,j^r_{k_r}}\right), \tag{8.11}$$

where

$$tr_{\bowtie}\left(\mathfrak{g}^0_{j_1,\ldots,j_k}\right) = \frac{2^{k-1}(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_{q_k}}(4\pi)^{-2k}}{\det(x^{j_1} - x^{j_2}) \ldots \det(x^{j_{k-1}} - x^{j_k}) \det(x^{j_1} - x^{j_k})} \tag{8.12}$$

$$\eta^{p_1\,q_1}_{j_1}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y^{j_1}_1|x^{j_1}), \mathcal{A}_+(Y^{j_1}_2|x^{j_1}) \ldots \eta^{p_k\,q_k}_{j_k}(\mathcal{A}_+(Y^{j_k}_2|x^{j_k}), \mathcal{A}_+(Y^{j_k}_2|x^{j_k}) e^{q_{j_1}}_{j_1,j_2} \ldots e^{q_{j_{k-1}}}_{j_{k-1},j_k} e^{q_{j_k}}_{j_1,j_k}\Big|_{Y=0}\,,$$

with

$$e^m_{j,i} = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}(x^j - x^i)^{-1}_{\alpha\beta'}(y^j_k - y^i_m)^\alpha (\bar{y}^j_k - \bar{y}^i_m)^{\beta'}\right) \tag{8.13}$$
$$+(-1)^{\mathfrak{p}_m} \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}(x^j - x^i)^{-1}_{\alpha\beta'}(y^j_k + y^i_m)^\alpha (\bar{y}^j_k + \bar{y}^i_m)^{\beta'}\right).$$

Connected k-point functions of free F-currents are

$$\big\langle \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{j_1}}(x^{j_1})\dots\mathcal{J}_{\eta_{j_k}}(x^{j_k})\big\rangle_{con} = \sum_{\mathcal{S}_k}\frac{1}{k} tr_{\bowtie}\big(\mathfrak{g}^0_{j_1,\dots,j_k}\big).$$

To describe primary currents, *i.e.*, those that belong to σ_- cohomology group $H^0(\sigma^2_{-Mnk})$ of $4d$ Minkowski current equation, where

$$\sigma^2_{-Mnk} = dx^{\alpha\beta'}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^{\beta'}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^{\beta'}}\right), \tag{8.14}$$

following to [39] it is useful to introduce $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, generated by

$$\varrho_+ = u^\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial v^\gamma} - \bar{u}^{\gamma'}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{v}^{\gamma'}}, \qquad \varrho_- = v^\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial u^\gamma} - \bar{v}^{\gamma'}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^{\gamma'}}, \tag{8.15}$$

that commutes to σ^2_{-Mnk} and acts on the space of bilinear currents (and, hence, on the space of parameters). Treating ϱ_+ as a positive operator, one can obtain highest $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-vectors from $H^0(\sigma_-)$ to reconstruct full $H^0(\sigma_-)$ by the action of ϱ_-. As a result, $H^0(\sigma^2_{-Mnk})$ consists of

$$f(u,v)\,, \qquad \bar{f}(\bar{u},\bar{v})\,, \qquad (\varrho_-)^k\, g(u,\bar{u}) \qquad (k=0,1,\dots)\,. \tag{8.16}$$

Taking into account that $u=\frac{1}{2}(y_2-y_1)$, $v=\frac{1}{2}(y_2+y_1)$ and $\bar{u}=\frac{1}{2}(\bar{y}_2-\bar{y}_1)$, $\bar{v}=\frac{1}{2}(\bar{y}_2+\bar{y}_1)$, substitution to currents (8.12) with the parameters of the form

$$[\eta(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^\gamma},\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{u}^{\gamma'}}),(\varrho_-)^k] \qquad (k=0,1,\dots) \tag{8.17}$$

gives correlators for primary currents.

9 Examples

9.1 Integer spins

In this Section, we consider Grassmann even currents with $X-$independent parameters. Let

$$\eta^{mn}_j(\partial_{Y^j_1},\partial_{Y^j_1}) = E^{mn}\eta_j(\partial_{Y^j_1},\partial_{Y^j_1}) + i\widetilde{E}^{mn}\widetilde{\eta}_j(\partial_{Y^j_1},\partial_{Y^j_1}) \tag{9.1}$$

with polynomial η, $\widetilde{\eta}$ and

$$\begin{gathered} E^{km} = E^{mk}\,, \qquad \widetilde{E}^{km} = -\widetilde{E}^{mk}\,, \qquad \widetilde{E}^{mk}\widetilde{E}_k{}^n = -E^{mn}\,, \qquad E^{mk}\widetilde{E}_k{}^n = \widetilde{E}^{mn}\,, \\ E^{mk}E_{km} = N\,, \qquad \widetilde{E}^{mk}\widetilde{E}_{km} = -N\,, \qquad E^{mn}\widetilde{E}_{mn} = 0\,. \end{gathered} \tag{9.2}$$

Note that, being arbitrary, most of polynomial parameters η (9.1) lead to exact differential forms $\Omega(\mathcal{J}_{\eta_j})$ (2.21) or $\Omega'(\mathcal{J}_{\eta_j})$ (2.22) and, hence, trivial charges.

Substitution of (9.1) into (8.4) gives connected components (8.3) of n-point functions

$$\big\langle \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_1}}(X^{k_1})\dots\mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_p}}(X^{k_p})\big\rangle^b_{con} = 2^{p-1}N\sum_{\mathcal{S}_p}\eta_{k(p)}\big(\partial_Y\big)\frac{\Big(\exp iR_{k(p)}\cos K_{k_1,k_2}\cdots\cos K_{k_{p-1},k_p}\cos K_{k_p,k_1}\Big)(Y)}{\mathcal{R}_{\bowtie}^{k_1,k_2}\dots\mathcal{R}_{\bowtie}^{k_{p-1},k_p}\mathcal{R}_{\bowtie}^{k_1,j_p}}\Big|_{Y=0} \tag{9.3}$$

boson-boson $F-$currents,

$$\big\langle \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_1}}(X^{k_1})\dots\mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_p}}(X^{k_p})\big\rangle^f_{con} = i^p 2^{p-1}N\sum_{\mathcal{S}_p}\eta_{k(p)}\big(\partial_Y\big)\frac{\Big(\exp iR_{k(p)}\sin K_{k_1,k_2}\cdots\sin K_{k_p,k_1}\Big)(Y)}{\mathcal{R}_{\bowtie}^{k_1,k_2}\dots\mathcal{R}_{\bowtie}^{k_{p-1},k_p}\mathcal{R}_{\bowtie}^{k_1,j_p}}\Big|_{Y=0} \tag{9.4}$$

for p fermion-fermion $F-$currents. Here

$$\eta_{k(p)}(a) = \frac{1}{2p}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p}\big(\eta_1^{k_j}(a_1^{k_j},a_2^{k_j}) + \widetilde{\eta}_{k_j}(a_1^{k_j},a_2^{k_j})\big) + \prod_{j=1}^{p}\big(\eta_1^{k_j}(a_1^{k_{k_j}},a_2^{k_j}) - \widetilde{\eta}_{k_j}(a_1^{k_j},a_2^{k_j})\big)\right), \tag{9.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_{i,j} &= \frac{1}{2}(X_i - X_j)^{-1}_{AB}(Y_1^j Y_2^i)^{AB}\,, \qquad (9.6)\\ R_{i,j} &= \frac{1}{4}(X_i - X_j)^{-1}_{AB}(Y_2^i Y_2^i + Y_1^j Y_1^j)^{AB}\,,\\ R_{i(p)} &= R_{i_1,i_2} + \dots + R_{i_{p-1},i_p} + R_{i_p,i_1}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Substitution of (9.3)-(9.4) into (8.2) gives n-point functions for $F-$currents of integer spins including all disconnected contributions.

9.2 Half-integer spins

In this Section we consider Grassmann odd currents with $X-$independent parameters.

To distinguish between boson and fermion color indices we denote them by Latin and Greek letters, respectively (the latter should not be confused with spinor indices). Hence, an odd $F-$current acquires a form

$$\mathcal{J}_\eta(Y_1,Y_2|X) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\eta^{m\alpha}\mathcal{T}_{m\,\alpha}(Y_1,Y_2|X) + \eta^{\alpha\,m}\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\,m}(Y_1,Y_2|X)\right).$$

Let

$$\eta_j^{m\alpha}(\partial_{Y_1^j},\partial_{Y_1^j}) = E^{m\alpha}\eta_j(\partial_{Y_1^j},\partial_{Y_1^j})\,,\qquad \eta_j^{\alpha\,m}(\partial_{Y_1^j},\partial_{Y_1^j}) = E^{\alpha\,m}\widetilde{\eta}_j(\partial_{Y_1^j},\partial_{Y_1^j}) \tag{9.7}$$

with polynomial η, $\widetilde{\eta}$ and

$$E^{m\alpha}E_\alpha{}^n = \delta^{mn}\,,\qquad E^{\beta m}E_m{}^\alpha = \delta^{\beta\alpha}\,,\qquad E^{m\alpha}E_{\alpha m} = N\,. \tag{9.8}$$

For odd currents, (8.4) is nonzero provided that k is even. Substitution of (9.7) into (8.4) gives for $k = 2m$

$$\langle \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_1}}(X^{k_1}) \dots \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_{2m}}}(X^{k_{2m}}) \rangle_{con} = i^m N \sum_{\mathcal{S}_{2m}} \frac{\exp iR_{k(2m)}(Y)}{\mathcal{R}_{\bowtie}^{k_1,k_2} \dots \mathcal{R}_{\bowtie}^{k_{2m-1},k_{2m}} \mathcal{R}_{\bowtie}^{k_1,j_{2m}}} \tag{9.9}$$

$$\Big(\eta_{k(2m)}\big(\partial_Y\big) \cos K_{k_1,k_2} \sin K_{k_2,k_3} \cos K_{k_3,k_4} \cdot \dots \cdot \sin K_{k_{2m-2},k_{2m-1}} \cos K_{k_{2m-1},k_{2m}} \sin K_{k_{2m},k_1}$$

$$+\widetilde{\eta}_{k(2m)}\big(\partial_Y\big) \sin K_{k_1,k_2} \cos K_{k_2,k_3} \sin K_{k_3,k_4} \cdot \dots \cdot \cos K_{k_{2m-2},k_{2m-1}} \sin K_{k_{2m-1},k_{2m}} \cos K_{k_{2m},k_1}\Big)(Y)\Big|_{Y=0} \quad ,$$

where

$$\eta_{k(2p)}(a) = \frac{1}{2p} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \eta_1^{k_{2j}}(a_1^{k_{2j}}, a_2^{k_{2j}}) \widetilde{\eta}_1^{k_{2j-1}}(a_1^{k_{2j-1}}, a_2^{k_{2j-1}}) \,, \tag{9.10}$$

$$\widetilde{\eta}_{k(2p)}(a) = \frac{1}{2p} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \widetilde{\eta}_1^{k_{2j}}(a_1^{k_{2j}}, a_2^{k_{2j}}) \eta_1^{k_{2j-1}}(a_1^{k_{2j-1}}, a_2^{k_{2j-1}}) \,,$$

and $\mathcal{R}_{\bowtie}^{ij}$ (8.7), K and R are given in (9.6). Substitution of (9.9) into (8.2) gives n-functions for $F-$currents of half-integer spins, including disconnected contributions.

9.3 Correlators of primary currents of integer spins

Primary currents in the matrix space are those with the parameters of the form $\eta(\partial_U, \partial_V) = \eta_U(\partial_U) + \eta_V(\partial_V) + \eta^{AB}\partial_{U^A}\partial_{V^B}$, where $\eta^{AB} = -\eta^{BA}$ [18]. At $M = 2$, these are $3d$ primary conformal currents, with the last term representing a spin zero current of dimension $\Delta = 2$.

Since, in the variables U, V (2.26), the functions $K_{i,\,j}$ and $R_{i,\,j}$ (9.6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} K_{i,\,j} &= \frac{1}{2}(X_i - X_j)^{-1}_{AB}(U+V)^{jA}(V-U)^{iB} \,, \\ R_{i,\,j} &= \frac{1}{4}(X_i - X_j)^{-1}_{AB}((V-U)^i(V-U)^i + (V+U)^j(V+U)^j)^{AB} \,, \end{aligned} \tag{9.11}$$

setting for example

$$\eta_j = \eta_j(\partial_{U^j}) \,, \qquad \widetilde{\eta}_j = \widetilde{\eta}_j(\partial_{U^j}) \tag{9.12}$$

in (9.1), we obtain that nonzero contribution to correlators come from

$$\begin{aligned} P_{i,\,j} = K_{i,\,j}\big|_{V=0} &= -\frac{1}{2}(X^i - X^j)^{-1}_{AB}(U^iU^j)^B \\ R_{i,\,j}\big|_{V=0} &= \frac{1}{4}(X_i - X_j)^{-1}_{AB}U^iU^i + U^jU^j)^{AB} \;, \qquad Q_{i(p)} = R_{i(p)}\big|_{V=0} \end{aligned} \tag{9.13}$$

with $R_{i(p)}$ (9.6).

Substitution of (9.12) into (9.3)-(9.4) gives

$$\big\langle \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_1}}(X^{k_1})\dots \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_p}}(X^{k_p})\big\rangle^b_{con} = \tag{9.14}$$
$$2^{2p-1}N\sum_{\mathcal{S}_p}\eta_{k(p)}\big(\partial_U\big)\frac{\Big(\cos Q_{k(p)}\cos P_{k_1,\,k_2}\cdots\cos P_{k_{p-1},\,k_p}\cos P_{k_p,\,k_1}\Big)(U)}{\mathcal{R}^{k_1,k_2}_{\bowtie}\dots\mathcal{R}^{k_{p-1},k_p}_{\bowtie}\mathcal{R}^{k_1,j_p}_{\bowtie}}\Big|_{U=0}$$

for p boson-boson primary $F-$currents,

$$\big\langle \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_1}}(X^{k_1})\dots \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_{2m}}}(X^{k_{2m}})\big\rangle^f_{con} = \tag{9.15}$$
$$(-1)^{m+1}2^{2m-1}N\sum_{\mathcal{S}_{2m}}\eta_{k(2m)}\big(\partial_U\big)\frac{\Big(\cos Q_{k(2m)}\sin P_{k_1,\,k_2}\cdots\sin P_{k_{2m+1},k_1}\Big)(U)}{\mathcal{R}^{k_1,k_2}_{\bowtie}\dots\mathcal{R}^{k_{2m-1},k_{2m}}_{\bowtie}\mathcal{R}^{k_1,j_{2m}}_{\bowtie}}\Big|_{U=0}$$

for $p=2m$ fermion-fermion primary $F-$currents, and

$$\big\langle \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_1}}(X^{k_1})\dots \mathcal{J}_{\eta_{k_{2m+1}}}(X^{k_{2m+1}})\big\rangle^f_{con} = \tag{9.16}$$
$$(-1)^{m}2^{2m}N\sum_{\mathcal{S}_{2m+1}}\eta_{k(2m+1)}\big(\partial_U\big)\frac{\Big(\sin Q_{k(2m+1)}\sin P_{k_1,\,k_2}\cdots\sin P_{k_{2m+1},\,k_1}\Big)(U)}{\mathcal{R}^{k_1,k_2}_{\bowtie}\dots\mathcal{R}^{k_{2m},k_{2m+1}}_{\bowtie}\mathcal{R}^{k_1,j_{2m+1}}_{\bowtie}}\Big|_{U=0}$$

for $p=2m+1$ fermion-fermion primary $F-$currents. Here $\eta_{k(p)}(a)$ is given by (9.5) and P,Q by (9.13). Note that, according to (9.13), (9.6)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{i(p)} &= Q_{i_1,\,i_2,\,i_3}+\dots+Q_{i_{p-2},\,i_{p-1},\,i_p}+Q_{i_{p-1},\,i_p,\,i_1}+Q_{i_p,\,i_1,\,i_2}\quad,\\ Q_{i,j,k} &= \frac{1}{4}\big((X^i-X^j)^{-1}+(X^j-X^k)^{-1}\big)_{AB}\,(U^jU^j)^{AB}\,. \end{aligned}$$

In derivation of (9.14)-(9.16) oneshould take into account antisymmetry of $R_{i,\,j}\big|_{V=0}$ and $K_{i,\,j}\big|_{V=0}$ in i,j and full symmetrization of the *r.h.s.* of (9.3) and (9.4).

As anticipated, Eqs. (9.14)-(9.16) are in accordance with the results of [9, 16].

9.3.1 Two-point function

For primary currents with $\eta_1(\partial_{Y^1_1},\partial_{Y^1_1})=\eta(\partial_U)$ and $\eta_2(\partial_{Y^2_1},\partial_{Y^2_1})=\eta'(\partial_{U'})$, we obtain from (9.14) and (9.15) in the boson-boson and fermion-fermion cases, respectively,

$$< \mathcal{J}_\eta(X)\mathcal{J}_{\eta'}(X') >_b = \frac{2N\exp\Big(\frac{i\pi I_{X-X'}}{2}\Big)}{(4\pi)^M|\det(X'-X)|}\big(\eta\eta'+\widetilde{\eta}\widetilde{\eta}'\big)\big(\partial_U\,,\partial_{U'}\big)\cos^2\big(P(U,U')\big)\Big|_{U=U'=0}, \tag{9.17}$$

$$< \mathcal{J}_\eta(X)\mathcal{J}_{\eta'}(X') >_f = \frac{-2N\exp\Big(\frac{i\pi I_{X-X'}}{2}\Big)}{(4\pi)^M|\det(X'-X)|}\big(\eta\eta'+\widetilde{\eta}\widetilde{\eta}'\big)\big(\partial_U\,,\partial_{U'}\big)\sin^2\big(P(U,U')\big)\Big|_{U=U'=0}, \tag{9.18}$$

where $P = P_{i,j}$ (9.13) with the identification $U = U^i$, $U' = U^j$.

Note that, at $M = 2$, inertia index I_X of a nondegenerate X takes values -2, 0, 2. As a result, as anticipated,

$$< \mathcal{J}_\eta(X)\mathcal{J}_{\eta'}(X') >=< \mathcal{J}_{\eta'}(X')\mathcal{J}_\eta(X) > \quad \forall\, X,\, X'$$

The overall sign in front of the two-point function is different for $I_{X-X'} = 0$ and $I_{X-X'} \neq 0$. As a result, (9.17), (9.18) can be written in the form

$$< \mathcal{J}_\eta(X)\mathcal{J}_{\eta'}(X') >_b= \frac{2N}{(4\pi)^M \det(X'-X)} \big(\eta\eta' + \widetilde{\eta}\widetilde{\eta}'\big)\big(\partial_U, \partial_{U'}\big) \cos^2\left(P(U,U')\right)\Big|_{U=U'=0}, \tag{9.19}$$

$$< \mathcal{J}_\eta(X)\mathcal{J}_{\eta'}(X') >_f= \frac{-2N}{(4\pi)^M \det(X'-X)} \big(\eta\eta' + \widetilde{\eta}\widetilde{\eta}'\big)\big(\partial_U, \partial_{U'}\big) \sin^2\left(P(U,U')\right)\Big|_{U=U'=0}. \tag{9.20}$$

Now consider $\eta^{AB} = -\eta^{BA}$, $\eta'^{AB} = -\eta'^{BA}$ and $\eta_1(\partial_{Y^1_1}, \partial_{Y^1_1}) = \eta^{AB}\partial_{U^A}\partial_{V^B}$, $\eta_2(\partial_{Y^2_1}, \partial_{Y^2_1}) = \eta'^{AB}\partial_{U'^A}\partial_{V'^B}$ in (9.1) which are $\Delta = 2$ scalar currents at $M = 2$. From (9.4), we have

$$< \mathcal{J}_\eta(X)\mathcal{J}_{\eta'}(X') >_f= \frac{4N(X'-X)^{-1}_{AB}(X'-X)^{-1}_{CD}\big(\eta^{AC}\eta'^{BD} + \widetilde{\eta}^{AC}\widetilde{\eta}'^{BD}\big)}{(4\pi)^M \det(X'-X)}. \tag{9.21}$$

9.3.2 Three-point function

For primary parameters (9.1)

$$\eta_1(\partial_{Y^1_1}, \partial_{Y^1_1}) = \eta_1(\partial_{U_1}), \qquad \eta_2(\partial_{Y^2_1}, \partial_{Y^2_1}) = \eta_2(\partial_{U_2}), \qquad \eta_3(\partial_{Y^3_1}, \partial_{Y^3_1}) = \eta_3(\partial_{U_3}),$$

Eqs. (9.14) and (9.16) give for boson-boson and fermion-fermion currents, respectively,

$$< \mathcal{J}_{\eta_1}(X_1)\mathcal{J}_{\eta_2}(X_2)\mathcal{J}_{\eta_3}(X_3) >_b = \frac{4N}{(4\pi)^{3M/2}} \exp\left(i\pi\frac{I_{X_1-X_2} + I_{X_2-X_3} + I_{X_1-X_3}}{4}\right) \tag{9.22}$$
$$(|\det(X_1-X_2)||\det(X_1-X_3)||\det(X_2-X_3)|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ \ (\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 + \eta_1\widetilde{\eta}_2\widetilde{\eta}_3 + \widetilde{\eta}_1\widetilde{\eta}_2\eta_3 + \widetilde{\eta}_1\eta_2\widetilde{\eta}_3)$$
$$\cos\Big(Q_{1,2,3} + Q_{2,3,1} + Q_{3,1,2}\Big) \cos\left(P_{1,2}\right) \cos\left(P_{2,3}\right) \cos\left(P_{3,1}\right) \big(U_1, U_2, U_3\big)\Big|_{U_1=U_2=U_3=0},$$

$$< \mathcal{J}_{\eta_1}(X_1)\mathcal{J}_{\eta_2}(X_2)\mathcal{J}_{\eta_3}(X_3) >_f = \frac{-4N}{(4\pi)^{3M/2}} \exp\left(i\pi\frac{I_{X_1-X_2} + I_{X_2-X_3} + I_{X_1-X_3}}{4}\right) \tag{9.23}$$
$$(|\det(X_1-X_2)||\det(X_1-X_3)||\det(X_2-X_3)|)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ \ (\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 + \eta_1\widetilde{\eta}_2\widetilde{\eta}_3 + \widetilde{\eta}_1\widetilde{\eta}_2\eta_3 + \widetilde{\eta}_1\eta_2\widetilde{\eta}_3)$$
$$\sin\Big(Q_{1,2,3} + Q_{2,3,1} + Q_{3,1,2}\Big) \sin\left(P_{1,2}\right) \sin\left(P_{2,3}\right) \cos\left(P_{3,1}\right)\ \big(U_1, U_2, U_3\big)\Big|_{U_1=U_2=U_3=0},$$

with $P\,,\ Q$ (9.13).

For $M = 2$, the factor of $\exp\left(i\pi\frac{I_{X_1-X_2}+I_{X_2-X_3}+I_{X_1-X_3}}{4}\right)$ is sensitive to the order of $\mathcal{J}_{\eta_1}(X_1), \mathcal{J}_{\eta_2}(X_2), \mathcal{J}_{\eta_3}(X_3)$ in the correlator. However, for space-like separation of all three points with $I_{X_1-X_2} = I_{X_1-X_3} = I_{X_2-X_3} = 0$ the sign factor is 1 independently of the order of currents $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{g}^0(X_i, X_i; \eta_i)$, which result is of course expected.

Consider one scalar primary current ($\Delta = 2$ in $3d$) and two with nonzero spin, *i.e.,* we set in (9.1) $\eta_1(\partial_{Y_1^1}, \partial_{Y_1^1}) = \eta^{AB}\partial_{U_1^A}\partial_{V_1^B}$ with some antisymmetric η_1^{AB}, and $\eta_2(\partial_{Y_1^2}, \partial_{Y_1^2}) = \eta_2(\partial_{U_2{}^A})$, $\eta_3(\partial_{Y_1^3}, \partial_{Y_1^3}) = \eta_3(\partial_{U_3})$ ($\widetilde{\eta} = 0$). From (9.13) it follows

$$< \mathcal{J}_{\eta_1^\Delta}(X_1)\mathcal{J}_{\eta_2}(X_2)\mathcal{J}_{\eta_3}(X_3) >_f = \frac{4iN}{(4\pi)^{3M/2}} \exp\left(i\pi \frac{I_{X_1-X_2} + I_{X_2-X_3} + I_{X_1-X_3}}{4}\right)$$

$$(|\det(X_1 - X_2)||\det(X_1 - X_3)||\det(X_2 - X_3)|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \;\; \eta_1^{AB}\eta_2\eta_3$$

$$(X_1 - X_2)^{-1}_{AC}(X_3 - X_1)^{-1}_{BD}\, U_2^C U_3^D \Big(\cos\left(Q_{2,3,1} + Q_{1,2,3}\right)\sin P_{2,3}\Big)(U_2, U_3)\Big|_{U_2=U_3=0} .$$

For two $\Delta = 2$ scalar primary currents and one of nonzero spin we set in (9.1) $\eta_j(\partial_{Y_1^j}, \partial_{Y_1^j}) = \eta^{AB}\partial_{U_j^A}\partial_{V_j^B}$, with some antisymmetric η_j^{AB} for $j = 1, 2$ and $\eta_3(\partial_{Y_1^3}, \partial_{Y_1^3}) = \eta_3(\partial_{U_3})$ ($\widetilde{\eta} = 0$). From (9.13) it follows

$$< \mathcal{J}_{\eta_1^\Delta}(X_1)\mathcal{J}_{\eta_2^\Delta}(X_2)\mathcal{J}_{\eta_3}(X_3) >_f = \frac{-8N}{(4\pi)^{3M/2}} \exp\left(i\pi \frac{I_{X_1-X_2} + I_{X_2-X_3} + I_{X_1-X_3}}{4}\right)$$

$$(|\det(X_1 - X_2)||\det(X_1 - X_3)||\det(X_2 - X_3)|)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \;\; \eta_1^{AB}\eta_2^{CD}\eta_3$$

$$(X_1 - X_2)^{-1}_{AC}(X_2 - X_3)^{-1}_{BF}(X_3 - X_1)^{-1}_{ED}\, U_3^E U_3^F \sin\Big(Q_{2,3,1}\Big)(U_3)\Big|_{U_3=0} .$$

10 Conclusion

In this paper, operator algebra of $3d$ conserved currents is reconstructed in terms of certain associative algebra M of distributions $\mathfrak{g}(Y)$ in the twistor space. Remarkably, description of the operator algebra of the infinite tower of higher-spin currents turns out to be much simpler than for a finite set. The reason is that, as long as $\mathfrak{g}(Y)$ are kept arbitrary, their product in M is well defined as a distribution in the twistor space even at coinciding space-time points X. On the other hand, to describe currents of particular spins, it is necessary to consider product of appropriate derivatives of $\mathfrak{g}(Y)$ at $Y = 0$ containing $\delta(Y)$ or its derivatives at $Y = 0$. To regularize these expressions, it is necessary to consider OPE of currents $J(Y|X)$ at different X.

Once algebra M is known, it is easy to reconstruct dependence on space-time coordinates X since it is completely determined by the unfolded equations in terms of Y-dependence. Practically, the map is given by the generalized $\mathcal{D}$ function which can be called twistor-to-boundary $\mathcal{D}$-function (propagator). This step is insensitive to a particular realization of conserved currents since the conservation condition for conformal currents completely determines twistor-to-boundary $\mathcal{D}$-function. As a result, space-time operator algebra is determined by the twistor algebra M which encodes full information on the dynamical origin of the system.

In the case of currents built from free massless fields, after an appropriate half-Fourier transform, algebra M coincides with the universal enveloping algebra of the conformal HS algebra acting on the constituent fields [17]. This conclusion fits analysis of [15, 16] on the bulk side, where the computation performed in terms of HS algebra was essentially based on HS symmetry, allowing the authors of [16] to find all connected n-point functions up to overall coefficients. Similarly, the computation of this paper, allowing to determine n-point functions including the relative coefficients, is controlled by the symmetry associated with M. Since M relates operator products involving different number of currents, it was called multiparticle algebra in [17]. (Note that it has the meaning of a multiparticle symmetry from the bulk point of view where boundary currents correspond to elementary fields.) The final result turns out remarkably simple both for the operator algebra and for n-point functions, being formulated in terms of butterfly product constructed from the star product of the HS algebra. In particular, the generating function of n-point functions has the form of certain determinant with respect to butterfly product.

Results of this paper may have different applications. One may consist of the analysis of deformations of operator algebras, in particular, answering the question on possible deformations of the underlying dynamical system based on free fields, *i.e.,* interactions. For example, AdS_4/CFT_3 HS algebras (see [37] and references therein) contain no free continuous parameters. Hence, the corresponding $3d$ vertex operator algebras are rigid in agreement with the conclusion of Maldacena and Zhiboedov [22]. On the other hand, AdS_3/CFT_2 HS algebras contain a free parameter ν [40]. Hence, in agreement of the analysis of AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence (see [41] and references therein), the boundary algebras are not unique.

Another is that, as explained in more detail in [17], M is a promising candidate for the symmetry underlying a string-like extension of HS theory, which we call multiparticle theory. In particular, the spectrum of states associated with this algebra contains subsectors similar to higher Regge trajectories in String Theory [17]. Hopefully, further study along these lines may shed light on the structure of HS theory with mixed-symmetry fields and, eventually, on yet unknown multiparticle theory as a HS version of String Theory. It is tempting to speculate that the origin of butterfly formulae for the operator algebra and n-point functions should also receive a natural explanation from the perspective of multiparticle theory.

We computed n-point functions not only for usual (full) currents but also for currents carrying stripped indices of creation and annihilation operators. Generalization to the interacting case should provide a tool for computation of amplitudes which, hopefully, may help to clarify deeper structures underlying tremendous progress in the analysis of multiparticle processes of field theory. Parallelism with sophisticated field-theoretic methods due to twistor description is obvious (see, e.g., [42] and references therein).

Wightman functions of currents $\langle J(X_1)J(X_2)\dots J(X_n)\rangle$ evaluated in this paper should be distinguished from T-ordered functions. The latter can be easily deduced from Wightman functions via insertion of step-functions at least away from singularities, while the former contain additional factors that depend on the causal relations between coordinates X_i and originate from accurate definition of the involved D-functions. Both in our scheme and in that of [15, 16] D-functions and Green functions result from Gaussian integrals in the twistor space. In our approach, signs of D-functions are determined by evaluation of Gaussian integrals in the complexified Fock-Siegel space [26] which is a twistor extension of the usual Siegel space [27, 28]. It would be interesting to extend this technics to the bulk computations *a la* [15, 16] where evaluation of Gaussians was so far a bit formal, hence leaving undetermined sign factors resulting from the square root of the determinant (which however are often ignored in the literature).

Being based on unfolded dynamics, our construction can be extended to a larger space where the symmetry, originally interpreted as conformal at the boundary, acts. This is trivially achieved via extension of the unfolded current conservation equations, that still have the form of flatness conditions (2.48). Most natural option is to go to the bulk AdS space. The only necessary step is to extend $\mathcal{D}$-functions to the bulk by solving unfolded equations (2.1) with the initial data (3.5). This immediately provides a twistor-to-bulk extension of the rank-two $\mathcal{D}$-function (which can be represented as a product of two rank-one $\mathcal{D}$-functions). In fact, up to details distinguishing between $\mathcal{D}$-functions and Green functions, the respective twistor-to-bulk $\mathcal{D}$-functions (propagators) are well known (see, e.g. [11, 16] and references therein) and indeed respect the initial data (3.5) in the twistor space. Practically, this simple observation uplifts the whole setting from boundary to the bulk in a very straightforward way, making boundary and bulk computations literally equivalent in accordance with [24]. In particular, our computation reproduces the results of Didenko and Skvortsov [16] on $3d$ connected n-point functions, determining all relative coefficients for different n and extending them to supercurrents. Note that our approach works equally well in higher dimensions allowing us, in particular, to evaluate generating function for n-point current correlators in four dimensions in Section 8.2.

In accordance with the general analysis of [24], equivalence of the boundary and bulk pictures should take place beyond the level of free fields as well. However, apart from two specific HS models corresponding to free boundary theories, explicit relation will be more involved requiring solving nonlinear unfolded equations in the both of dual pictures. To make the AdS_4/CFT_3 correspondence complete at the nonlinear quantum level, one should construct a generating functional invariant under (appropriately deformed) multiparticle symmetries with free central charge parameter. As shown in [43], in unfolded dynamics, gauge invariance of such a functional implies that it is represented by an integral of a closed form, which is independent of local variations of the integration surface. (This is analogous to the charge Q (5.1) that can be equally well evaluated via integration either over twistor space or over space-time.) As a result, the corresponding generating functional can be evaluated either over twistor space or partially over twistor space and partially over boundary surface, or over bulk, giving the same result. Such an equivalence (duality) may seem obscure unless manifest equivalence of different formulations via unfolded dynamics is taken into account.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to V. Didenko, E. Skvortsov, I. Tyutin and, especially, M. Soloviev and B. Voronov for useful discussions. Also we thank the organizers and participants of spring 2012 ESI workshop on Higher Spin Gravity, where this work was initiated, for creation of friendly and productive atmosphere and stimulating conversations. This research was supported in part by RFBR Grant No 11-02-00814-a.

References

[1] C.Fronsdal, *“Massless Particles, Ortosymplectic Symmetry and Another Type of Kaluza-Klein Theory”*, Preprint UCLA/85/TEP/10, in Essays on Supersymmetry, Reidel, 1986 (Mathematical Physics Studies, v.8).

[2] I.Bandos and J.Lukierski, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 14** (1999) 1257, `hep-th/9811022`.

[3] I. A. Bandos, J. Lukierski and D. P. Sorokin, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 045002 [arXiv:hep-th/9904109].

[4] M. A. Vasiliev, Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 066006 [hep-th/0106149].

[5] M.A. Vasiliev,“ Relativity, Causality, Locality, Quantization and Duality in the $Sp(2M)$ Invariant Generalized Space-Time”, `hep-th/0111119`; Contribution to the Marinov’s Memorial Volume, M.Olshanetsky and A.Vainshtein Eds, World Scientific.

[6] I. Bandos, X. Bekaert, J. A. de Azcarraga, D. Sorokin and M. Tsulaia, JHEP **0505** (2005) 031 [arXiv:hep-th/0501113].

[7] H. Osborn and A. C. Petkou, Annals Phys. **231** (1994) 311 [hep-th/9307010].

[8] A. C. Petkou, JHEP **0303** (2003) 049 [hep-th/0302063].

[9] S. Giombi, S. Prakash and X. Yin, arXiv:1104.4317 [hep-th].

[10] M. S. Costa, J. Penedones, D. Poland and S. Rychkov, JHEP **1111** (2011) 071 [arXiv:1107.3554 [hep-th]].

[11] S. Giombi and X. Yin, arXiv:1208.4036 [hep-th].

[12] Y. S. Stanev, Nucl. Phys. B **865** (2012) 200 [arXiv:1206.5639 [hep-th]].

[13] A. Zhiboedov, arXiv:1206.6370 [hep-th].

[14] N. Colombo and P. Sundell, JHEP **1111** (2011) 042 [arXiv:1012.0813 [hep-th]].

[15] N. Colombo and P. Sundell, arXiv:1208.3880 [hep-th].

[16] V. E. Didenko and E. D. Skvortsov, arXiv:1210.7963 [hep-th].

[17] M. A. Vasiliev, arXiv:1212.6071 [hep-th].

[18] O. A. Gelfond and M. A. Vasiliev, *Theor.Math.Phys.* **145** (2005) 35 [`hep-th/0304020`].

[19] I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B **550** (2002) 213 [arXiv:hep-th/0210114].

[20] M. A. Vasiliev, *Phys. Lett.* B **285** (1992) 225.

[21] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, JHEP **0507** (2005) 044 [arXiv:hep-th/0305040].

[22] J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, arXiv:1112.1016 [hep-th].

[23] J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, arXiv:1204.3882 [hep-th].

[24] M. A. Vasiliev, arXiv:1203.5554 [hep-th].

[25] O. V. Shaynkman and M. A. Vasiliev, Theor. Math. Phys. **128** (2001) 1155 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **128** (2001) 378] [hep-th/0103208].

[26] O. A. Gelfond and M. A. Vasiliev, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 125; [arXiv:0801.2191v4 [hep-th]].

[27] C.L. Siegel, *“ Sympletic Geometry”*, Academic Press, 1964.

[28] D. Mumford, *“Tata lectures on theta”*, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart: Birkhäuser, vol. I, 1983, vol. II, 1984.

[29] A. Jevicki, K. Jin and Q. Ye, arXiv:1212.5215 [hep-th].

[30] N. M. Nikolov, Y. S. Stanev and I. T. Todorov, J. Phys. A **35** (2002) 2985 [hep-th/0110230].

[31] M. A. Vasiliev, Ann. Phys. (NY) **190** (1989) 59.

[32] X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla and M. A. Vasiliev, hep-th/0503128.

[33] O.A. Gelfond, M.A. Vasiliev, “Unfolding versus BRST and currents in Sp(2M) invariant higher-spin theory,” arXiv:1001.2585 [hep-th].

[34] M.A. Vasiliev, *Russ. Phys. J.* **45** (2002) 670 (*Izv. Vuzov, Fizica* **45** (2002) N7 23), `[hep-th/0204167]`.

[35] M. A. Vasiliev, Fortsch. Phys. **36** (1988) 33.

[36] E. S. Fradkin and M. A. Vasiliev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **3** (1988) 2983.

[37] S. E. Konstein and M. A. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys. B **331** (1990) 475.

[38] F.A. Berezin, M.A. Shubin, *“Schrödinger Equation ”*, Moscow Univercity Edition, 1983

[39] O. A. Gelfond, and M. A. Vasiliev, to appear.

[40] S. F. Prokushkin and M. A. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys. B **545** (1999) 385 [hep-th/9806236].

[41] M. R. Gaberdiel and R. Gopakumar, arXiv:1207.6697 [hep-th].

[42] N. Arkani-Hamed, J. L. Bourjaily, F. Cachazo, A. B. Goncharov, A. Postnikov and J. Trnka, arXiv:1212.5605 [hep-th].

[43] M. A. Vasiliev, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **3** (2006) 37 [hep-th/0504090].

[44] R. G. Leigh and A. C. Petkou, arXiv:1212.4421 [hep-th].